

Variance Criteria

Respond completely and fully to all six criteria listed below to demonstrate that the request meets the standards of Land Development Code of Seminole County Sec. 30.43(3) for the granting of a variance:

1. Describe the special conditions and circumstances that exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district.

The enclosure has been in the same spot for 46 years

2. Describe how special conditions and circumstances that currently exist are not the result of the actions of the applicant or petitioner.

Pool enclosure were built in 1977 by previous owner

3. Explain how the granting of the variance request would not confer on the applicant, or petitioner, any special privilege that is denied by Chapter 30 to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district.

enclosure was approved 46 years ago

4. Describe how the literal interpretation of the provisions of the zoning regulations would deprive the applicant, or petitioner, of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant or petitioner.

Working to put enclosure back in the same spot

5. Describe how the requested variance is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

Not asking for additional size

6. Describe how the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the zoning regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

Neighbor beside to rear sending approval letters

Variance Criteria

Respond completely and fully to all six criteria listed below to demonstrate that the request meets the standards of Land Development Code of Seminole County Sec. 30.43(3) for the granting of a variance:

1. Describe the special conditions and circumstances that exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district.

This cage has been in the same spot for 46 yrs.

2. Describe how special conditions and circumstances that currently exist are not the result of the actions of the applicant or petitioner.

Pool & Enclosure were built in 1977 by previous owner

3. Explain how the granting of the variance request would not confer on the applicant, or petitioner, any special privilege that is denied by Chapter 30 to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district.

Cage was approved 46 years ago.

4. Describe how the literal interpretation of the provisions of the zoning regulations would deprive the applicant, or petitioner, of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant or petitioner.

Wanting to put cage back in same spot

5. Describe how the requested variance is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

Not asking for additional size

6. Describe how the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the zoning regulations and will not be injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

Neighbor beside & to Rear sending approval letters.