B.C.C. - SEMINOLE COUNTY, FL PS TABULATION SHEET

PS NUMBER: PS-5135-23/TAD

PS TITLE: MSA for Professional Engineering & Architectural Services for Solid Waste Mgmt Div. Including Municipal Solid Waste Landfill and Transfer Station

ALL SUBMITTALS ACCEPTED BY SEMINOLE COUNTY ARE SUBJECT TO THE COUNTY'S TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND ANY AND ALL ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS SUBMITTED BY THE PROPOSERS ARE REJECTED AND SHALL HAVE NO FORCE AND EFFECT. PS DOCUMENTS FROM THE PROPOSERS LISTED HEREIN ARE THE ONLY SUBMITTALS RECEIVED TIMELY AS OF THE ABOVE OPENING DATE AND TIME. ALL OTHER PS DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO THIS SOLICITATION, IF ANY, ARE HEREBY REJECTED AS LATE.

DATE: September 27, 2023 TIME: 2:00 P.M.

RESPONSE -1-	RESPONSE -2-	RESPONSE -3-	RESPONSE -4-
HDR Engineers, Inc. 315 East Robinson Street Suite 400 Orlando, FL 32801	Jones-Edmunds & Associates, Inc. 730 NE Waldo Road Gainesville, FL 32641	S2L, Inc. 531 Versailles Drive Suite 202 Maitland, FL 32751	SCS Engineers 1800 Pembrook Drive Suite 300 Orlando, FL 32810
Melanie Fowler Ph: 813-282-2300 Email: kristen.wagner@hdrinc.com	Kristen Farrell Ph: 352-377-5821 Email: marketing@jonesedmunds.com	Samuel Levin Ph: 407-475-9163 Email: <u>slevin@s2li.com</u>	Christina Barrios Ph: N/A Email: cbarrios@scsengineers.com

Tabulated and posted by: (Tony Durrum, Senior Procurement Analyst, October 6, 2023)

Evaluation Committee Meeting: October 6, 2023, 2:30PM via Microsoft Teams. (This meeting is exempt from being a public meeting pursuant to F.S. 286.0113)

Presentation/Interviews (listed alphabetically): October 24, 2023, 2:00PM

- HDR Engineers, Inc.
- S2L, Inc.
- SCS Engineers

BCC Agenda Date: December 12, 2023 – Request to approve ranking and authorize negotiations with two (2) firms in accordance with the Florida Statute 287.055 CCNA (Updated and posted Wednesday, November 1, 2023 at 2:20PM EST by Tony Durrum, Sr. Procurement Analyst

- S2L, Inc.
- SCS Engineers

PS-5135-23/TAD Professional Engineering & Architectural Services for Solid Waste Management Division Including Municipal Solid Waste Landfill and Transfer Station **Evaluation Phase**

		Shannon	William (Johnny)	Ronnie	
Vendor	Oliver Bond	Dawkins	Edwards	Hope	Total Score
HDR Engineering, Inc.	85.15	80	85.5	82	83.16
Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc.	85.75	75.6	84.15	71.3	79.2
S2L, INCORPORATED	87.75	79.7	90	93.35	87.7
SCS Engineers	87.1	78	87.45	85.15	84.43

We approve the above stated ranking:

William Johnny Edwards

Ronnie Hope

Ronnie Hope

Shannon Dawkins

Professional Engineering & Architectural Services for Solid Waste Management Division Including Title

Municipal Solid Waste Landfill and Transfer Station

PS-5135-23/TAD Number **Presentation Phase** Phase

Criteria	Experience	Ability, Capacity, Skill	Performance	Total	Rank
Weight	40	30	30	100	
S2L, Inc.	95	91	93.3	93.28	1
SCS Engineers	91.3	92.5	91.5	91.7	2
HDR Engineering, Inc.	88.3	87.8	87.8	87.95	3

Final:

S2L, Inc. **SCS Engineers**

We approve the above stated ranking:

11/20/23

Johnny Edwards

Oliver Bond
Oliver Bond
Ronnie Hope

11/27/23

Ronnie Hope

11/27/23

Shannon Dawkins

Seminole County



Resource Management - Purchasing & Contracts

Diane Reed, Purchasing and Contracts Manager 1301 East Second St., Sanford, FL 32771

PS No. PS-5135-23/TAD

<u>Professional Engineering & Architectural Services for Solid Waste Management Division Including Municipal Solid</u>
Waste Landfill and Transfer Station

PHASE 2 - Presentations

EVALUATORS

Name	Title		
Oliver Bond	Solid Waste Division Manager		
Shannon Dawkins	Project Manager		
William (Johnny) Edwards	Deputy Director		
Ronnie Hope	Operations Manager		

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria Scoring Method		Weight (Points)		
Experience	0-100 Points	40 (40% of Total)		

- 1. Which staff/resources will be dedicated to Seminole County? Will we have a single point of contact?
- 2. Should the County be concerned with your firm's familiarity with its facilities, or are theymostly all the same throughout Florida?
- 3.If you were in our shoes facing upcoming industry challenges, what's your #1 concern and how would you address it?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Ability, Capacity, Skill	0-100 Points	30 (30% of Total)

- 1.Tell us about your staffing challenges, if any, during the covid/post-covid era and how youmanaged to continue to provide services.
- 2. Have you ever provided extension of staff to clients, or could you?

Criteria Scoring Method		Weight (Points)		
Performance	0-100 Points	30 (30% of Total)		

- 1. Explain how you've helped permit more landfill airspace for a client.
- 2. Explain how you've helped refurbish or expand a waste processing facility.
- 3. What is ideal communication frequency with a client (meetings, reports/updates)? Is it different for different projects?

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Oliver Bond	Shannon Dawkins	William (Johnny) Edwards	Ronnie Hope	Total Score (Max Score 100)
S2L, INCORPORATED	95.2	85.6	95.5	96.8	93.28
SCS Engineers	96.5	84.1	95	91.2	91.7
HDR Engineering, Inc.	95.6	86.2	91.5	78.5	87.95

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

HDR Engineering, Inc.

Experience | 0-100 Points | 40 Points (40%)

Oliver Bond: 95

Excellent team of experienced individuals.

Shannon Dawkins: 88

The HDR staff appears to be well versed with similar project and similar landfills. They are experienced with SWMD by their work with the Master Plan.

William (Johnny) Edwards: 90

Working on County's Master Plan. Good understanding of upcoming challenges including PFAS in leachate. Experienced project manager (in south Florida) with public sector experience; backup in Orlando office.

Ronnie Hope: 80

Seems to have the experience and knowledge for scope of work

Ability, Capacity, Skill | 0-100 Points | 30 Points (30%)

Oliver Bond: 95

Strong coverage, excellent experience and ability to provide extension staff.

Shannon Dawkins: 81

There are no staffing challenges with this firm post covid, however I am concerned if they would be able provide extension of staff if needed.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Professional Engineering & Architectural Services for Solid Waste Management Division Including Municipal Solid Waste Landfill and Transfer Station

William (Johnny) Edwards: 95

Large firm with numerous professionals across the State used to working from remote offices.

Ronnie Hope: 80

Seemed to not have much challenges

Performance | 0-100 Points | 30 Points (30%)

Oliver Bond: 97

Provided novel ideas to increase airspace, strong experience.

Shannon Dawkins: 89

HDR was able to communicate all facets of this bid requirement, they were able to demonstrate that they have experience with other Solid Waste facilities.

William (Johnny) Edwards: 90

Several examples of waste processing facility work. Good discussion of sliver fill and tack on berms. Discussion of closure turf and MSE walls as options in Florida.

Ronnie Hope: 75

seemed to have answers for other states but not Florida

S2L, INCORPORATED

Experience | 0-100 Points | 40 Points (40%)

Oliver Bond: 97

Direct long term experience with SC landfill, CTS and operations.

Shannon Dawkins: 85

S2li has experience with Seminole County, they have sufficient staff to manage projects that may arise.

William (Johnny) Edwards: 100

Company officers manage County projects. These engineers have been consulting for the County's landfill since the 80s and have first hand knowledge of features that are unique. Excellent understanding of upcoming regulatory challenges.

Ronnie Hope: 98

Definitely has the experience and knowledge for our County facilities.

Ability, Capacity, Skill | 0-100 Points | 30 Points (30%)

Oliver Bond: 92

Smaller team but posses exceptional experience.

Shannon Dawkins: 87

There appears to be no staffing challenges post covid, the size of their firm may inhibit an extension of staff if needed.

William (Johnny) Edwards: 90

Main office in Maitland. Smaller firm with five engineers, but more resources through subconsultants.

Ronnie Hope: 95

Has the Capacity and Contractors able to do our scope of work.

Performance | 0-100 Points | 30 Points (30%)

Oliver Bond: 96

Offered options to improve airspace as well as previously achieving this goal with SC.

Shannon Dawkins: 85

The vendor has confirmed that they are capable to comply with the requirements of the bid scope.

EVALUATION TABULATION

William (Johnny) Edwards: 95

Good examples of permitting additional airspace, including Seminole County's Landfill. Several examples of waste processing facility work in Florida. Good discussion of concerns with alternate closure materials.

Ronnie Hope: 97

People in place for our Landfill and Transfer Station to gain more air space and making our Transfer Station more efficient.

SCS Engineers

Experience | 0-100 Points | 40 Points (40%)

Oliver Bond: 98

Broad staffing with extensive specialties.

Shannon Dawkins: 82

Vendor has the personnel and experience necessary to meet the needs of SWMD.

William (Johnny) Edwards: 95

Experienced project manager and backup in Tampa with support staff in Orlando. Excellent understanding of the landfill through previous and current work on landfill gas system and stormwater management. Excellent discussion of upcoming challenges, including PFAS in leachate.

Ronnie Hope: 90

Has the Experience and Knowledge for our County Facilities

Ability, Capacity, Skill | 0-100 Points | 30 Points (30%)

Oliver Bond: 95

Provided great responses and proven track record in these areas. Specialize in SW operations.

Shannon Dawkins: 86

SCS has the resources to provide extension of staff if needed.

William (Johnny) Edwards: 95

Good discussion of coordination of multiple offices pre and post covid. Over a hundred professional staff in Florida with main office in Tampa and smaller office in Orlando.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Professional Engineering & Architectural Services for Solid Waste Management Division Including Municipal Solid Waste Landfill and Transfer Station

Ronnie Hope: 94

Has the right people in place for our scope of work.

Performance | 0-100 Points | 30 Points (30%)

Oliver Bond: 96

Provided airspace options as well as experience in improving transfer station operations.

Shannon Dawkins: 85

SCS was able to show other related projects and how they impacted airspace. The communication frequency that they displayed at the presentation was sufficient.

William (Johnny) Edwards: 95

Several examples of work at waste processing facilities. Good discussion of options for permitting more airspace including such as tack-on berms, concerns with closure turf.

Ronnie Hope: 90

Definitely have knowledgeable people for this scope of work.