



# Vision Zero ACTION PLAN

# **SEMINOLE COUNTY**









# **Executive Summary**

Vision Zero is a strategy to eliminate all traffic deaths and serious injuries while increasing safe, healthy, equitable mobility for all.

This Seminole County Vision Zero Safety Action Plan was developed from a coordinated planning effort led by MetroPlan Orlando, in partnership with local governments and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

As a region (Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties), more than 5 people are killed and 35 people are seriously injured on our roadways every week - a higher rate than elsewhere in Florida and the Nation. Thirty percent of people killed on our roadways are walking or biking. These aren't just numbers, but represent people in our communities. Co-workers, neighbors, friends, and family members. Each of these deaths and serious injuries leaves our community with pain and loss.

Achieving zero traffic deaths and serious injuries is an ambitious goal, requiring a cultural change and a shift in how we plan, operate, and use our transportation system. It represents a shared responsibility among all stakeholders, including all road users, decision makers, agency staff, law enforcement, and vehicle manufacturers.

This plan is grounded in the Safe System Approach which aims to eliminate deadly and serious injury crashes on our roads by anticipating human mistakes and minimizing impacts on the human body when crashes do occur.

Every week, 5 people die and 35 are seriously injured in Central Florida crashes.

The County seeks to eliminate traffic deaths and serious injuries on our roads by 2050.







Executive Summary | 3

# What Is Vision Zero Central Florida & Why Do We Need to Take Action?

Saving lives. That's what it's all about. The only acceptable number for traffic deaths is zero, because everyone deserves to travel safely around Central Florida.

No one entity or agency can fix road safety problems alone. While this plan is specific to Seminole County, action plans were created for the three county region, as well as each county and city. The Regional Vision Zero Central Florida Safety Action Plan results from a coordinated planning effort led by MetroPlan Orlando, in partnership with local governments and the Florida Department of Transportation. We're the only place in the nation where a regional organization worked alongside every single county and city government in the area to coordinate safety planning on this scale. These tailored plans have identified opportunities to change roadway designs, educational needs, and enforcement strategies.

The plans include:

- High Injury Network: Identifying places on the transportation system with the highest risk of deadly and serious injury crashes so we can focus on our most important problem areas.
- Equity: Identifying and prioritizing efforts in disadvantaged communities that are disproportionately affected by traffic crashes.
- List of Priority Streets and Intersections: Producing a list of feasible projects that have the most safety impact.
- Educational and Enforcement Programs: Identifying key behavioral changes needed to reduce crashes and methods for encouraging those changes.
- Sustained Effort: Establishing a defined process and responsibilities for carrying out, updating, and monitoring progress.
- Outreach Events: Public engagement is a key part of the study.



# **Reason For Concern** In Seminole County

Nearly half a million people call Seminole County home. The community chooses Seminole County for its plentiful recreational opportunities, strong leadership, and an enjoyable pace of living. Safety is a top priority.

Unfortunately, on average about 36 people lose their lives to traffic crashes every year in Seminole County. People who walk, bike, and ride motorcycles are at the greatest risk. One in every six crashes involving these vulnerable users will result in death or serious injury.

Speed is a major factor in survival rate. Roads with posted speed limits of 40 miles per hour or higher account for 70% of all deaths and serious injuries. The time of day is another major factor. The hours of 3 PM to 6 PM have the highest number of deadly or serious traffic crashes compared to other times of day. The most common deadly or serious injury crashes are offroad or left-turn collisions.

The road to zero is long, but Seminole County is positioned to make progress. The countywide trend in traffic deaths and serious injury crashes is in a slight downtrend. Deadly and serious injury crashes occurred 228 times in 2018. Five years later in 2022, they occurred 176 times. That's a reduction of 23%. There is more work to be done. This plan outlines steps to get there.

3-COUNTY REGION (2018-2022)

325,775 total crashes 1,466 deaths



#### SEMINOLE COUNTY (2018-2022)



Seminole County **Mission Statement:** 

"The Seminole County Government dedicates our efforts to provide for the safety and welfare of the public through the preservation of life, health, property and the environment."



Source: Signal 4 Analytics, 2018 – 2022; excludes limited access facilities.

# **Key Highlights and Recommendations** from This Plan

# **Actions**

The list of actions in this plan are organized around the Safe System approach. Infrastructure alone cannot solve the traffic safety crisis. It will take a combination of enhancement to our roads, travel speeds, road users, vehicles, and post-crash care. Each of the actions in this plan has a performance measure, a target year for initiation, a responsible party within county departments, and a relative cost. Some actions can be done right away. Some have longer lead times to account for coordination, funding, and strategic planning. Tracking progress on each of the actions is critical for evaluating and refining strategies over time. A separate section of actions is dedicated to future progress. It includes strategies such as applying for the Implementation Grant through the Safe Streets for All Grants program and updating this action plan every five years.

# Projects

Additionally, a needs list of projects was identified to strengthen the safety on our roads. There are 39 prioritized projects on county roads and 30 prioritized projects on state roads. Each project includes recommendations for engineering countermeasures, such as roadway lighting. Network-wide projects, ones that can be done before, during, or after roadway specific projects are included as well. These network-wide projects address crash factors such as speeding. Project implementation will require a coordinated effort between the county, the state, and local city partners.

# Outcome

Completing the actions in this plan is more than a check mark. It is tangible action to touch the lives of our community. It can translate into less pain and suffering from traffic deaths. Fewer parents worrying as their children become drivers. Fewer funerals for our loved ones. This plan is a major milestone in shifting our transportation system to a safer system. Together we start our quest to zero traffic deaths. Together we drive to zero.

# **Relationship to Other County** Efforts

This Vision Zero Action plan is meant to complement the county's current plans and initiatives. A few key plans include:

- 2045 Transportation Mobility Plan Guides future transportation improvements and investment decisions. Includes the goal to improve safety for all users, especially pedestrians and bicyclists.
- Envision Seminole 2045 A vision for the next 20 years of growth, change, and conservation. Goals include protecting wildlife, maintaining rural places, growing walkable communities, getting active, and being green.
- Trails Master Plan Sets a vision for providing greenways, trails, and bikeways and a vital element to maintain and improve the quality of future development and community revitalization efforts.

# Seminole County Action Plan Summary

- Prioritize Bicycle and Per
- Implement Leading Ped
- Conduct Traffic Signal F Match Target Speeds
- Implement Quick-Build Repurposing
- Deploy Near-Miss Cam



**SAFER ROADS** 

# **SAFER SPEEDS**



### SAFER ROAD USERS



SAFER VEHICLES

- Collaborate with Profess Organizations Furthering Requirements
- Consider Emerging Veh Systems when Purchasir Vehicles



### POST CRASH CARE



### Develop Vision Zero Stat

- Host Biannual Vision Zero Workshop
- Update Action Plan Every 5-Years

CONTINUING **PROGRESS** 

<ul> <li>Prioritize Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects</li> <li>Implement Leading Pedestrian Intervals</li> <li>Conduct Traffic Signal Retiming to Match Target Speeds</li> <li>Implement Quick-Build Lane Repurposing</li> <li>Deploy Near-Miss Camera Technology</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Expand Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon Guidance in Engineering Standards Manual</li> <li>Develop Traffic Calming Plan and Travel Time Evaluation</li> <li>Enhance Transit Stop Crossings</li> <li>Coordinate Safety Improvements with Utility Projects</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Install Speed Feedback Signs</li><li>Develop Target Speed Plan</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Prioritize Speed Management Countermeasures</li> <li>Pilot School Zone Speed Limit Cameras</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Partner with Motorcycle Safety Organization, Emphasize Helmet Usage</li> <li>Coordinate Traffic Safety Presentations with Schools</li> <li>Consider Expanding Driver's Education Program in Public Schools</li> <li>Engage in Youth Traffic Safety Programs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conduct Targeted Enforcement and High Visibility Enforcement Operations</li> <li>Launch Vision Zero Outreach Campaign</li> <li>Recommend a Countywide "Do Not Disturb" Policy for Staff</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Collaborate with Professional Organizations Furthering Safe Vehicle Requirements</li> <li>Consider Emerging Vehicle Safety Systems when Purchasing New Fleet Vehicles</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Publicize the Availability of the "SAFERCAR" Phone Application for Recall Notifications</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Meet with First Responders Regularly</li> <li>Track Response and Transport Times</li> <li>Supplement Crash Data with First Responder, Hospital, and Trauma Center Data</li> </ul>	Promote CPR / Emergency First Aid Training
Develop Vision Zero Status Report	Assian / Create Dedicated

- Transportation Safety Staff Position
- Convene a Fatal Crash Review Commission

# Acknowledgements

#### Seminole County Steering Committee

Bill Wharton, Public Works Arturo Perez, Public Works Doug Robinson, Planning and Development Charlie Wetzel, Traffic Engineering Bill Pandos, Parks and Recreation Matt Kinley, Fire Department Alan Harris, Emergency Management Lt. Brad McDaniel, Sheriff's Office Mark Mullins, Seminole County Public Schools Jordan Smith, Seminole County Public Schools James Miller, Seminole State College Steve Fussell, Sanford Airport Venise White, Florida Department of Public Health Janelle Dunn, True Health Loreen Bobo, FDOT Emily Bush, Bike Walk Central Florida Patrick Panza, Bike Walk Central Florida Cody Johnon, LYNX Lenny Barden, City of Altamonte Springs Kelly Brock, City of Casselberry Chris Carson, City of Lake Mary Shad Smith, City of Longwood Paul Yeargain, City of Oviedo Adam Mendenhall, City of Sanford Terrilyn Rolle, City of Winter Springs

#### Seminole County Advisors

Tony Nelson, County Engineer Jean Jreij, Public Works Director

#### MetroPlan Orlando

Lara Bouck, Project Manager Slade Downs, Deputy Project Manager Mighk Wilson, Regional Project Manager Adriana Rodriguez, Program Manager Mary Ann Horne, Communications Lead Cynthia Lambert, Public Information Manager Taylor Laurent, Manager of Transportation Planning Alex Trauger, Principal / Director of Transportation Planning

#### **Consultant Project Team**

Megan Ferguson, Project Manager Jeff Arms, Project Principal Melissa Porcaro, Deputy Project Manager Sean Murphy Cheryl Isenberg Alexandra Laporte Sydney Benson Laura Agudelo Tyler Swafford Stefanie McQueen Hannah Weary

Anna Perry Taylor Belinger Justin Jones Stephen Alianiello Olivia Wiper Ezri Ruiz

# Statement of Protection of Data from Discovery and Admissions

SECTION 148 OF TITLE 23, UNITED STATES CODE REPORTS DISCOVERY AND ADMISSION INTO EVIDENCE OF CERTAIN REPORTS, SURVEYS, AND INFORMATION —

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for any purpose relating to this section, shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at the location identified or addressed in the reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or other data.

# Preparation of this plan was funded by a \$3.79 million Safe Streets and Roads for All federal grant awarded to MetroPlan Orlando

Executive Summary | 11

# CONTENTS

Executive Summary	2
Guiding Principles & Safe Systems Approach	14
Talking to Our Community	18
Focusing on Our Users	26
Getting to Zero	44
Action Plan	54
Vision Zero Resolution	82





# Guiding Principles & Safe Systems Approach

Vision Zero is the strategy to eliminate all traffic deaths and serious injuries, while increasing safe, healthy, and equitable mobility for all.





# WHAT IS VISION **ZERO?**

Vision Zero reframes traffic deaths as preventable.

Vision Zero is an international movement with nearly 20 years of success around the world that is now making its way through America. It acknowledges we all make mistakes AND aims to establish a safe system through data driven decision making.

Being a Vision Zero community is more than a tagline. Vision Zero communities must meet these minimum standards:

- Set clear goal of eliminating traffic deaths and severe injuries
- Elected officials have committed publicly to Vision Zero
- Data driven, equity-focused decision makina
- Actionable Strategies are developed
- Key agency departments (including police, transportation, public health) are engaged

Like all ambitious aoals, Vision Zero is not without its challenges. Some hurdles observed in other Vision Zero Communities include:

- Rapid population growth
- Availability of funding
- Inability to gain consensus on specific strategies and projects
- Spot improvements alone without system wide improvements
- Failing to adapt as trends and technology changes

#### TRADITIONAL APPROACH **VISION ZERO** Traffic deaths are INEVITABLE Traffic deaths are PREVENTABLE **PERFECT human behavior** Integrate HUMAN FAILING in approach Prevent COLLISIONS Prevent FATAL AND SEVERE CRASHES VS INDIVIDUAL responsibility **SYSTEMS** approach Saving lives is **EXPENSIVE** Saving lives is NOT EXPENSIVE

#### Source: Vision Zero Network

# **SAFE SYSTEMS APPROACH**

The Safe System Approach acknowledges safety is a shared responsibility.

This data-driven, holistic, and equitable method to improve roadway safety is adopted by the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT). It acknowledges the vulnerability of the human body when designing and operating a transportation network to minimize serious consequences of crashes. Creating a Safe System means shifting some responsibility from road users to those who plan and design the transportation system. While road users are responsible for their own behavior, there is a shared responsibility with those who design, operate, and maintain the transportation network, including the automotive industry, law enforcement, elected officials, and government agencies to improve safety. In a Safe System, road system designers and operators take on the highest level of ethical responsibility to design and build our transportation system in a way that encourages safer behavior and provides redundancies.

The Safe System Approach acknowledges that no one entity or agency can fix road safety problems alone. Instead, coordination, cooperation, resources, and focus from other agencies within the region, the State and Federal Governments are necessary for success.



#### THE SAFE SYSTEMS **APPROACH IS CENTERED AROUND THE FOLLOWING:**



- Death/Serious Injury is Unacceptable
- Humans Make Mistakes
- Humans are Vulnerable
- Responsibility is Shared
- Safety is Proactive
- Redundancy is Crucial

#### SAFE SYSTEM ELEMENTS

- Safer Road Users
- Safer Vehicles
- Safer Speeds
- Safer Roads
- Post-Crash Care

# Talking to Our Community

This section highlights feedback and outreach events. Vision Zero is successful when we engage everyone. Everyone is involved in transportation. Everyone has a stake: drivers, non-drivers, walkers, cyclists, motorcyle riders, bus riders, bus drivers, and beyond.





# TALKING TO OUR COMMUNITY

### Seminole County Open House & Pop-Up Events

- April 2, 2024: Lake Mary Lake Mary Senior Center, 911 Wallace Ct, Lake Mary, FL 32746
- April 3, 2024: Oviedo Oviedo City Hall, 400 Alexandria Blvd, Oviedo. FL 32765

#### • April 11, 2024: Altamonte **Springs**

Westmonte Park, 624 Bills Ln. Altamonte Springs, FL 32714

• May 29, 2024: Altamonte Springs

Altamonte Springs SunRail Station, 2741 S Ronald Reagan Blvd, Altamonte Springs, FL 32701

- June 1, 2024: Sanford Sanford Farmer's Market 150 E 1st St, Sanford, FL 32771
- June 1, 2024: Winter Springs Black Hammock Trailhead 1571 E State Rd 434. Winter Springs, FL 32708
- June 6, 2024: Casselberry LYNX Fern Park Super Stop, Fernwood Blvd & Oxford Rd, Fern Park, FL 32730

# Seminole County Community **Concerns & Priorities**

As a part of the Vision Zero Action Plan development, Seminole County hosted open houses and pop-up events in each municipality to educate the public about the purpose of Vision Zero, and to better understand the transportation issues from a community based perspective rather than just relying on crash data. The project team displayed informational boards that describe what Vision Zero and the Safe Systems Approach are, and where the local High Injury Network crashes have occurred. To collect feedback from the community, the project team utilized an online comment form created by the Vision Zero Regional Team.

The first three open houses were hosted in Lake Mary and Oviedo in the evening, and Altamonte Springs during the mid-day hours respectively. Following the open houses, Seminole County hosted four pop-up events. These events focused on the "meeting people where they are at" approach.

As a part of public engagement efforts, the Vision Zero Central Florida website has a "Help Identify Safety Problems" tab for the public to provide feedback noting specific locations in the region that feel unsafe to travel in.



# How Community Feedback **Enhances the Plan**

Crash data alone does not tell the whole story - community conversations were vital to confirming and adding context to high crash areas within Seminole County. Feedback was used to guide emphasis areas and identify percieved roadway risk that may show up as near misses today, but could be deadly in the future.

# Speed bump(s) needed. Cars hit 50mph daily in a 25mph zone. Too long of a straight road without bumps for a neighborhood. Very unsafe

speed trails roundabouts education agreeable sidewalks connectivity multimodal walkability enforcement lighting speed bumps



would like to see more multimodal paved trails around the county\_



# Seminole County Community Key Themes

SUPPORT: Majority of community members were excited to see support for zero deaths and serious injuries

**RESPONSIBILITY:** Community expressed a need for shared responsibility, nervous when interacting with other drivers

**CONCERN:** Some community members worry zero is unrealistic

**EDUCATION:** Education was discussed at all events. wanting the future generation to practice safer transportation habits

**GROWTH:** Community members noted the growth in population as a potential cause for traffic crashes

WALKING SAFETY: Community requests for more transit stops and pedestrian crossing signals across County

LIGHTING: Difficulty seeing at night or in the early morning hours when the sun rises

**DISTRACTED DRIVING:** Rise of smart phone usage and larger vehicle screens distracting drivers from paying attention to the roadways, all events expressed seeing people on their phones when driving, walking, or biking

# **EVENT MATERIALS**





# **PERSONAL STORIES**

During community events, many citizens shared personal stories of how traffic crashes impacted their lives:

- A mother with brain injury and neurological damage due to a car crash
- Man in early 20s who had a finger detached due to a motocycle crash
- A sister with paraplegia due to car crash three weeks before her wedding
- Elderly woman who was in a life-threatening crash while crossing the street as a teenager
- An elected official who lost their nephew in a traffic crash
- Girlfriend who was in a traffic crash and suffered a spinal injury, now afraid to get back in the car
- Two children surprised by the number of motorcycle deaths and serious injuries, thought about their dad and how he rides a motorcycle
- Families who expressed losing loved ones throughout their lives

# TALKING TO OUR COMMUNITY

# Local Community Concerns & Collaboration

In addition to Seminole County open houses and pop-up events, each of the seven municipalities had their own pop-events for their own respective Vision Zero Action Plans. Overall, the Vision Zero project team attended over 20 events in 10 months. Concerns and collaboration are further detailed in each City Action Plan.

# **ALTAMONTE SPRINGS**

- December 15, 2023: Holiday Concert
- February 14, 2024: Senior Fair
- May 11, 2024: Family Fest

# LONGWOOD

- December 9, 2023: Farmer's Market
- February 3, 2024: MFMC's 37th Annual Mustang & Ford Roundup
- April 27, 2024: Lonawood 2nd Annual Walk for Autism Awareness & Inclusion

# WINTER SPRINGS

- November 8, 2023: Winter Springs Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee
- December 2, 2023: Winter Wonderland
- March 30, 2024: Egg-Citing Egg Hunt 2024

# CASSELBERRY

- February 9, 2024: Food Trucks and Mardi Gras Party
- March 16, 2024: Spring Jazz & Arts Festival
- April 27, 2024: Earth Fest

# **OVIEDO**

- January 15, 2024: Martin Luther King Jr. Day Celebration and Parade
- March 23, 2024: Taste of Oviedo
- June 15, 2024: CEOviedo at Versus Games

# LAKE MARY

- December 9, 2023: Farmer's Market
- April 17, 2024: Mind, Body, & Spirit: A Celebration of Seniors
- April 26, 2024: Arbor Day Park Party

# **SANFORD**

- April 6, 2024: 39th Annual British Car Club Car Show
- April 25, 2024: District 2 Community Meeting
- May 1, 2024: Sanford Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) Meeting
- June 27, 2024: District 2 Community Meeting



# Focusing on Our Users

This section highlights crash insights and the High Injury Network.





# FOCUSING ON **OUR USERS**

#### Serious Injury: May

also be referred to as an incapacitating injury. Serious injuries may include broken bones, severed limbs, etc. These injuries usually require hospitalization and transport to a medical facility.

# **Evaluating Crash Data & Trends**

In striving toward Vision Zero, a data-driven approach is critical to uncover the details of deadly and serious injury crashes. This includes reviewing locations, frequency, and contributing factors. Understanding where and why these crashes happen is key.

Even one life lost is too many. There were 181 deadly crashes and 814 serious injury crashes between 2018 and 2022 in Seminole County. On county roads alone, there were 89 deadly crashes and 458 serious injury crashes. This is unacceptable. While the downward trend in deadly and serious injury crashes is encouraging, 2022 was still the worst year for traffic deaths.

### What are major contributing factors to crashes?

Contributing causes to crashes includes environmental factors such as the time of day or day of week, human factors such as age or distracted driving, and roadway factors such as speed and lighting condition.

### Roadway

#### **Human Factors**

#### **Environmental**

- Speed
- Number of Lanes Impaired Driving
- Aggressive Driving • Time of Day • Day of Week
- Lighting Condition • Driver Inattention

### Who are vulnerable users?

Vulnerable users are those outside of a vehicle. People who are walking, biking, or driving a motorcycle. They are physically unprotected and are at greater risk of death or serious injury in a collision. Vulnerable users make up 36 percent of the deadly and serious injury crashes in Seminole County.



### What is a crash type?

A crash type describes how the motorist or vulnerable user collided with another traveler or object. Crashes that involve turning are called "left-turn" or "right-angle" collisions. Some crashes only involve one motorist and are considered "off-road" or "single-vehicle" collisions.

The countywide trend in traffic deaths and serious injury crashes is in a slight downtrend. Deadly and serious injury crashes occurred 228 times in 2018. Five years later in 2022, they occurred 176 times. That's a reduction of 23%. While this trend is encouraging, the 42 deaths in 2022 cannot be ignored. 2022 was the worst year for traffic deaths.

For each travel mode, the overall deadly and serious injury crashes has decreased over the past five years, but pedestrians and motorcyclists crashes have not. The number of pedestrian traffic deaths or serious injury crashes has doubled from the 2020 low. While not as high as 2018, the uptick is cause for increased concern. Motorcyclist deaths and serious injuries have remained flat since 2020, though overall, motorcyclists account for the highest number of vulnerable user deadly and serious injury crashes.











Source: Signal 4 Analytics, 2018 – 2022; excludes limited access facilities.

VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

disproportionate number of traffic deaths and serious injuries

intersection. The highest scoring segments are the HIN. Crashes

# FOCUSING ON OUR USERS

# High Injury Network and High Crash Intersections

These are the intersections of greatest traffic crash concern in the county, as shown on the previous map. These intersections have the highest "crash scores" and have seen the greatest number of deaths and serious injuries.

### High Crash Intersections (All Roads):

US 17-92 at CR 46A
 SR 436 at SR 434
 US 17-92 at Lake of the Woods Blvd
 SR 426 at Hall Rd
 US 17-92 at SR 434
 CR 46A at Hartwell Ave
 SR 46 at SR 415
 US 17-92 at SR 46 / W 1st St
 SR 436 at Howell Branch Rd
 CR 427 at Lake Mary Blvd

## High Crash Intersections (County Roads):

CR 46A at Hartwell Ave
 CR 427 at Lake Mary Blvd
 CR 46A at Casa Verde Blvd
 Lake Mary Blvd at Flagg Ln
 CR 427 at Keyes Ct
 Red Bug Lake Rd at Citrus Rd
 Howell Branch Rd at Lake Howell Rd
 CR 427 at Downing St
 CR 427 at Orange Ave
 CR 427 at CR 15
 Lake Mary Blvd at SR 15 / CR 15
 Old Lake Mary Blvd at Markham Woods Rd
 S un Dr at Greenwood Blvd
 CR 46A at Rantoul Ln



#### **ROADS OF A SAME NAME**

Depending on where roads fall in Seminole County, one road might have multiple road names. This includes:

- US 17-92 / French Ave / SR 46
- CR 46A / H E Thomas Jr. Pkwy
- SR 436 / Semoran Blvd / Altamonte Dr
- SR 426 / Aloma Ave
- SR 415 / Lake Mary Blvd
- CR 427 / Sanford Ave / Ronald Reagan Blvd
- SR 15 / CR 15 / Country Club Rd
- CR 419 / Broadway St / Chuluota Rd
- SR 46 / 25th St
- CR 13 / Snow Hill Rd



Source: Signal 4 Analytics, 2018 – 2022; excludes limited access facilities.

VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY



Source: Signal 4 Analytics, 2018 – 2022; excludes limited access facilities.

VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY



VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Source: Signal 4 Analytics, 2018 – 2022; excludes limited access facilities.



Source: Signal 4 Analytics, 2018 – 2022; excludes limited access facilities.

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

#### LIGHTING/TIME OF DAY

The later in the day it gets, the more deadly and serious injury crashes occur. This is true for both motorists and vulnerable users. The hours of 3 PM to 9 PM account for 39% of deadly and serious injury crashes, though those six hours are just 25% of day. As daylight turns to dusk, lighting becomes a more important roadway characteristic. Of the deadly and serious injury crashes that occurred in the dark, 60% were in locations without lighting.

1% of deadly or serious injury crashes happened in locations without lighting 16% 24% 56% Daylight Dusk Dark-Lighted Dark-Not Lighted 60 3 PM 6 PM 9 PM 12 AM

Motor Vehicle Death or Serious Injury Bike, Ped, or Motorcycle Death or Serious Injury

Focusing on Our Users | 41

# FOCUSING ON **OUR USERS**







5%

Person dies in collision

If hit by a car traveling

**25MPH** 

35MPH

**45MPH** 

## The Impact of Speed on Survival

Speed is a major factor in survival rate. 71% of all traffic deaths and serious injuries in the County were on roads with posted speed limits of 40 miles per hour or higher. This data does not consider the speed at which the collision occurred, which could be much higher than the posted speed limit.

High speed is particularly impactful for vulnerable roadway users involved in crashes with vehicles. National data shows that nearly any pedestrian hit by a vehicle traveling at 45 mph or above will be killed. There is only a 15% chance of survival. As speed increases, the toll on the human body increases and the driver's cone of vision decreases. Deploying roadway design changes to reduce speeds is critical to Vision Zero.

Person survives collision

85%

45%

# The Impact of Human Factors Survival

Humans make mistakes. Behavioral factors such as drinking and driving can influence the outcome of a crash. Younger and older people are especially vulnerable to serious injury.



Data Source: National Traffic Safety Board (2017)

1 in 3 serious injury crashes involves distracted driving.

- 1 in 5 deadly crashes involves drunk driving.
- 1 in 6 involves drugs.
- Only 6% of drivers are teens, but are in 13% of serious injury crashes.
- 10% of all serious injury crashes are hit-and-runs. That number **doubles** when the person hit is walking or biking.

# Seminole County

- 1 in 8 deadly or serious injury crashes involved distracted driving.
- 1 in 14 deadly and serious injury crashes involves drunk driving. 1 in 25 involves drugs.
- Only 17% of the County is age 65 or older they are involved in 23% of deadly and serious injury crashes.
- 6% of all deadly and serious injury crashes are ¥@ hit-and-runs.

# Getting to Zero

This section highlights agency coordination and the toolbox of strategies and countermeasures.

To achieve zero deaths and serious injuries, Seminole County should collaborate and rely on proven strategies and countermeasures.





# Vision Zero Central Florida by the Numbers

Vision Zero Central Florida has been a monumental effort. Public engagement strategies were aimed at collecting feedback from residents and visitors and included a range of in-person and online opportunities.



community events with

interactions with the public

50+ local government meetings

updates to MetroPlan

Orlando Board and

committees

views of Call-to-Action video

mentions on local media outlets

**Regional Press Conference** 

Over 10,000VisionZeroCFL.gov

Safety Champion Speaker Series Events



# Strategize with Key Stakeholders

### Regional Vision Zero 📄 Task Force

The Regional Vision Zero Task Force was structured to develop a framework for continued action for the implementation and monitoring phases of the Action Plan at a regional level. This Task Force includes a diverse set of regional perspectives and county and local agency staff to help inform what materials should be prepared at the regional level to help support the County and Local Vision Zero Action Plans.

### Seminole County Steering Committee

#### **STEERING COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

Bill Wharton, Public Works Arturo Perez, Public Works Doug Robinson, Planning and Development Charlie Wetzel, Traffic Engineering Bill Pandos, Parks and Recreation Matt Kinley, Fire Department Alan Harris, Emergency Management Lt. Brad McDaniel, Sheriff's Office Mark Mullins, Seminole County Public Schools Jordan Smith, Seminole County Public Schools James Miller, Seminole State College Steve Fussell, Sanford Airport Venise White, Florida Department of Public Health Janelle Dunn, True Health Loreen Bobo, FDOT Emily Bush, Bike Walk Central Florida Patrick Panza, Bike Walk Central Florida Cody Johnon, LYNX Lenny Barden, City of Altamonte Springs Kelly Brock, City of Casselberry Chris Carson, City of Lake Mary Shad Smith, City of Longwood Paul Yeargain, City of Oviedo Adam Mendenhall, City of Sanford Terrilyn Rolle, City of Winter Springs

The Seminole County Steering Committee is comprised of Seminole County staff and local municipal staff, as well as local leaders who can support the County and Local Action Plans. Vision Zero relies on shared responsibility and authentic engagement.

### Local Municipality Working Groups

The Working Groups are composed of city staff who can promote Vision Zero through different departments and actions. The Working Groups are similar to the Regional Task Force and the County-level Steering Committee to include participation from multiple city departments. They are tasked with carrying out the Action Plan following its adoption.

### **STEERING COMMITTEE ADVISORS**

Tony Nelson, County Engineer Jean Jreij, Public Works Director



# Strategize with Key Stakeholders

The Seminole County Steering Committee met five times throughout the plan development. As the Action Plan is completed and adopted, the Steering Committee will shift their focus on implementation and monitoring of projects within the plan and monitoring the serious injury and fatal crashes within the county limits. Additionally, the Steering Committee will strive to follow the goals and timelines set forth in the Action Plan.

During each committee meeting, there was opportunitiy for questions and conversations. Some of the questions and answers are summarized below:

#### What do you think are the biggest barriers to reaching zero traffic deaths and serious injuries?

- Distracted Driving
- Perception that Complete Streets is "taking things away"
- What are your thoughts after reviewing the crash data for Seminole County?
- Some locations are not surprising
- FDOT currently looking at lighting projects
- Review homeless

#### What countermeasures have been successful for vou?

- High-visibility crosswalks Rumble Strips
- Leading pedestrian intervals and **RRFBs**

#### What countermeasures would you like to see reccomended or implemented in the future?

- Raised Medians
- Segment Lighting
- Green conflict striping for bike lanes/trails
- Roundabouts

Lane Repurposing

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Need More Enforcement Financial Costs / Funding

encampment data against crash data

#### **Steering Committee Dates**

- Steering Committee Meeting #1 - October 27, 2023: Kickoff - What is Vision Zero? UF/IFAS Extension Seminole County 250 W. County Home Road, Sanford FL, 32773
- Steering Committee Meeting #2 - January 12, 2024: High Injury Network UF/IFAS Extension Seminole County 250 W. County Home Road, Sanford FL, 32773
- Steering Committee Meeting #3 - February 8, 2024: Benchmarking Policies Virtual Meeting
- Steering Committee Meeting #4 - April 2, 2024:

Countermeasures UF/IFAS Extension Seminole County 250 W. County Home Road, Sanford FL, 32773

• Steering Committee Meeting #5 - July 18, 2024: Reveal Draft Plan & Next Steps Virtual Meeting

Getting to Zero | 49

# **GETTING TO** ZERO

## Using Proven Safety Countermeasures

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has 28 proven safety countermeasures. These countermeasures have been used in many states and on roads of many kinds. This list is a starting point for project identification, though countermeasures don't end here. Over 100 different countermeasures were considered as part of this action plan. Most countermeasures have what's called a Crash Modification Factor (CMF). This research-backed factor tells us the potential crash reduction percentage.



These countermeasures have the potential to reduce deadly and serious injury crashes by up to:

#### LIGHTING

28% on roadways

38% at intersections

42% at intersections (pedestrians)

**13%** at intersections (vehicle-pedestrian crashes)

**INTERVALS** 







#### ROUNDABOUTS LEADING PEDESTRIAN

**78%** at intersections (converted from signal)

82% at intersections (converted from stop sign)

### Other Engineering **Strategies**

**Refuge Island** 

Retroreflective Signal Backplates

Roundabout

1 Lighting Segment

Lighting Access Management/ Close

Intersection

Driveway

**Rumble Strips** 

# Action Plan

This section outlines the recommendations, needs projects, and next steps following plan adoption.

These actions are ambitious, but achievable through collaboration and measurable goals.







Design roadway environments to mitigate human mistakes and account for injury tolerances, to encourage safer behaviors, and to facilitate safe travel by the most vulnerable users.

countermeasures prior to any infrastructure projects.

SAFER ROADS						
ACTION	DESCRIPTION	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	TARGET YEAR	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	RELATIVE COST	INTEGRATES WITH
Prioritize Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects	Building on the Seminole County Trails Master Plan and the Mobility Plan, projects on the HIN that coincide with these plans should be prioritized for implementation. The existing county plans have identified needs and connectivity opportunities. Streamlining these projects can advance safety and mobility. It is recommended that a formal process be evaluated to integrate coinciding projects into the 5-year capital improvement program.	Documented policy/ process	Start Year 2	Public Works Engineering Division (Lead), Parks and Recreation (Support), Board of County Commissioners (Support)	\$	2045 Mobility Plan, Trails Master Plan, Capital Improvement Program
Implement Leading Pedestrian Intervals	LPIs can reduce vehicle-pedestrian crashes by up to 13%. It is recommended the County and FDOT work together to implement LPIs as a system wide improvement, especially in each city's core downtown area.	Number of intersections evaluated for LPIs and number implemented	Start Year 1	Public Works Traffic Engineering Division	\$	-
Conduct Traffic Signal Retiming to Match Target Speeds	Traffic signal timing is a combination of minimizing vehicle delay and optimizing safety for all users. Minor modifications to signal progression and timing settings should be reviewed for both high injury network segments and roadways of similar context as a proactive measure. It is recommended the progression speed be set to the target speed.	Number of corridors retimed with speed reduction	Start Year 2	Public Works Traffic Engineering Division	\$-\$\$	Travel Time and Delay Study
Implement Quick-Build Lane Repurposings	Excess roadway capacity lends itself to higher vehicle speeds. Lane repurposings should be targeted for 4-lane roadways with an average annual daily traffic volume less than 20,000. Historic and future growth should be considered for lane repurposing candidates. Truck traffic should also be considered.	Miles of roadway repurposed	Start Year 2	Public Works Engineering Division	\$-\$\$\$	FDOT Lane Repurposing Guidebook
Deploy Near-Miss Camera Technology	Monitoring technology can be deployed to proactively identify hotspots and apply countermeasures before crashes occur. The Vision Zero crash analysis has identified high-crash intersections. Near-miss camera technology can be deployed to these intersections and nearby intersections with similar contextual and signal timing characteristics. This data will inform which movements are in greatest conflict and provide an opportunity to assess effectiveness of low-cost signal timing	Number of near miss hot spots identified	Start Year 2	Public Works Traffic Engineering Division	\$\$	Vision Zero Regional Action Plan

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY



**SAFER ROADS** 

Design roadway environments to mitigate human mistakes and account for injury tolerances, to encourage safer behaviors, and to facilitate safe travel by the most vulnerable users.

### **ACTION**

**DESCRIPTION** 

Expand RRFB
Guidance in
Engineering
Standards Manua

**Develop Traffic Calming Plan** and Travel Time **Evaluation** 

#### **Enhance Transit Stop** Crossings

**Coordinate Safety** Improvements with **Utility Projects** 

The county has successfully used Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) at trail crossing locations. As a low-cost solution with potential to reduce pedestrian crashes by up to 47%, it is recommended RRFB guidance be expanded to other crossing contexts. RRFBs are approved in the 11th Edition of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) released in December 2023. The Florida Design Manual can be used to supplement county guidance.

Using the County's Traffic Calming Measures and Design Guidelines, a comprehensive county-wide plan is recommended to evaluate the interaction of strategies and potential impact to emergency response routes. A holistic plan will provide a streamlined opportunity to gain consensus on approach. It is anticipated that individual locations of traffic calming tactics will be visualized on a map. Fire department locations, hospitals, and other emergency service facilities would be included in the visual mapping. Travel times and impacts to emergency response routes should be evaluated.

Transit users rely on the walking and biking to access bus stops. However, many transit stops do not have marked crosswalks or signalized crossings. Convenient access to controlled crossing opportunities becomes more critical for those with disabilities, families with strollers, and our eldery population. It is recommended the County continue working with LYNX to identify stops with the highest ridership and enhance pedestrian connectivity.

Utility work such as replacing an underground water line often requires roadway excavation. This provides an opportunity for safety countermeasures to be implemented once the work is completed and the road is replaced. Projects such as lane narrowing with restriping, lane repurposing, crosswalk marking, bicycle lane installation, and curb-radii reductions are examples of safety improvements achievable with roadway markings. A policy should be developed to routinely coordinate utility and safety projects.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE	TARGET YEAR	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	RELATIVE COST	INTEGRATES WITH
Manual update	Start Year 1	Public Works Engineering Division (Lead) and Traffic Engineering Division (Support)	\$	County Engineering Standards Manual, Florida Design Manual (FDM)
Development of plan	Start Year 2	Public Works Engineering Division (Lead) and Traffic Engineering Divisions (Support), Fire Department (Support)	\$\$	Traffic Calming Measures and Design Guidelines
Number of transit stops modified	Start Year 2	LYNX (Lead), Public Works Engineering Division (Support)	\$-\$\$\$	-
Documented policy/ process	Start Year 1	Public Works Roads- Stormwater Division	\$	County Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

disadvantaged area.



Promote safer speeds in all roadway environments through a combination of thoughtful, equitable, context-appropriate roadway design, appropriate speed-limit setting, targeted education, outreach campaigns, and enforcement.

**SAFER SPEEDS** 

ACTION	DESCRIPTION	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	TARGET YEAR	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	RELATIVE COST	INTEGRATES WITH
Install Speed Feedback Signs	Speeding is a major contributor to deadly and serious injury crashes. It is recommended that speed feedback signs be implemented on high injury network segments with excessive speeding. Signs should be located between traffic signals where vehicles typically gain the most speed. Actual speed data should be tracked to evaluate the impact of the sign.	Number of roadways with speed feedback signs	Start Year 1	Public Works Traffic Engineering Division	Ş	-
Develop Target Speed Plan	Expand on MetroPlan Orlando's critical speed management network analysis and assign target speeds for all county roads. This plan should use new guidance from the 11th Edition of the MUTCD (December 2023) on setting context appropriate speed limits. Setting these target speeds can help further the Envision Seminole 2045 goal of creating more walkable communities and the strategy of spurring development in centers and corridors.	Adoption of plan	Start Year 2	Public Works Engineering Division (Lead), Development Services (Support)	\$\$	MetroPlan Orlando Speed Management Study (2022), Envision Seminole 2045 Walkable Strategy
Prioritize Speed Management Countermeasures	The County is continually emphasizing safety in transportation projects. Speed management countermeasures (such as lane repurposing, lane narrowing, curve signage, speed cushions, roundabouts, and speed feedback signs) should continue to be an area of focus and prioritized in roadway projects.	Percentage of roadway projects with speed management countermeasures included	Start Year 2	Public Works Engineering Division (Lead) and Traffic Engineering Division (Support)	\$ - \$\$\$	-
Pilot School Zone Speed Limit Cameras	Automated enforcement of school zone speed limits is now permitted under Florida law (July 2023). Drivers going faster than 10 mph over the speed limit can be issued a fine. Several communities across Florida have moved to approve this technology (Osceola County, City of Eustis, Manatee County). It is recommended this technology be piloted in school zones on the high injury network with observed speeding issues, such as: Sanford Middle School on US 17-92, Lyman High School on CR 427, Winter Springs Elementary School on SR 434, Jackson Heights Middle school on CR 419. The first three schools are also in a USDOT	Percentage of roadway projects with speed management countermeasures included	Start Year 2	County Sheriff's Office (Lead) Public Works Traffic Engineering (Support), County School Board (Support)	\$\$	MetroPlan Orlando Speed Management Study (2022)

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY



**SAFER ROAD USERS** 

Safe road users means safe people. Encourage safe, responsible driving and behavior by people who use our roads and create conditions that prioritize their ability to reach their destination unharmed.

### **ACTION**

DESCRIPTION

Partner with
Motorcycle Safety
Organizations,
Emphasize Helmet
Usage

Coordinate Traffic Safety Presentations with Schools

**Consider Expanding Driver's Education Program in Public Schools** 

Engage in Youth **Traffic Safety** Programs

Motorcycle crashes account for 18% of all deadly and serious injury crashes -- the highest of any vulnerable road user. Almost half the people killed on motorcycles in Central Florida were not wearing helments. Partnering with motorcycle safety organizations, such as Ride Smart Florida, can help increase awareness throughout the county and emphasize the shared responsibility of all road users. Opportunities for partnership may include joint tabling events, social media campaigns, and collaborative meetings for sharing latest trends and progress.

The summer school driver's education program offered by Seminole County Public Schools is an excellent option for new drivers. It is recommended that introductory traffic safety information is made available to students who are unable to attend the driver education program or do not yet have learner's permits. Traffic safety education is recommended at all levels of schooling, from elementary to high school.

As noted above, the summer school driver's education program is an excellent option. It is recommended the School Board consider expanding this program to be offered during the school year. It may be considered as an elective or an after-school activity to provide yearround access. The partnership with the Florida Safety Council for free behind-the-wheel instruction should continue. The number of applicants for the summer program should be reviewed to right-size the year-round program. If applicants are low, an outreach strategy to publicize and promote the program should be pursued.

In Central Florida, only 6% of drivers are teens but they're in 13% of serious injury crashes. It is recommended that the Vision Zero team and Seminole County School Board engage with youth traffic safety programs such as FDOT's Teen Driver Safety Program, the FDOT Battle of the Belts Program, and the Florida Sheriff Association's Teen Driver Challenge. The Teen Driver Safety Program targets teen drivers aged 15 through 19 to educate peers, parents, and communities about teen safe driving by means of coaching and empowerment.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE	TARGET YEAR	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	RELATIVE COST	INTEGRATES WITH
Number of joint activities per year	Start Year 1	Office of Communications (Lead), Public Works Engineering Division (Support)	\$	-
Number of schools reached	Start Year 3	Public Works Engineering Division (Lead), Office of Communications (Support), Seminole County Public Schools (Support)	\$-\$\$\$	-
Program evaluation Number of students reached	Start Year 3	Seminole County Public Schools (Lead)	\$-\$\$\$	-
Number of students engaged	Start Year 2	Seminole County Public Schools (Lead), Public Works Engineering Division (Support), Office of Communications (Support), FDOT (Support)	\$-\$\$	

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY



**SAFER ROAD USERS** 

Safe road users means safe people. Encourage safe, responsible driving and behavior by people who use our roads and create conditions that prioritize their ability to reach their destination unharmed.

## **ACTION**

## DESCRIPTION

Conduct Targeted Enforcement and High Visibility Enforcement Operation	Speeding is a major contributor to deadly and serious injury crashes. It is recommended that a targeted enforcement operation is conducted on roadways with operating speeds 10 mph above the posted speed limit. MetroPlan Orlando's Speed Management Study identified these roadways. Target county corridors for enforcement are: Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard, Howell Branch Road, Lake Mary Boulevard south of SR 46, Celery Avenue, CR 427 from Longwood Hills Road to US 17/92, Red Bug Lake Road east of Tuskawilla Road, Dodd Road.	Number of drivers reached
Launch Vision Zero Outreach Campaign	An ongoing outreach campaign is important to maintain awareness and momentum around the goal of zero traffic deaths. The scale of this campaign can be tailored to match county staffing commitments and responsibilities. An overarching set of Vision Zero awareness campaign material is developed as part of this action plan.	Number of social interactions
Recommend a Countywide "Do Not Disturb" Policy for	Seminole County can recommend an internal countywide policy for staff to utilize the "Do Not Disturb" feature implemented in modern smart phones while driving. This promotes a culture of safety and understanding amongst colleagues that understands a text or call can	Number of staff utilizing "Do Not Disturb" on their

wait. This is a policy that FDOT currently implements.

Staff

PERFORMANCE

**MEASURE** 

smart phones

TARGET

YEAR

Start Year 2

Start Year 2

Start Year 1

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Relative Cost Description: \$ = Low or No Funding Required / Internal Staff Action, \$\$ = Some Funding or Scope of Work Required, \$\$\$ = Higher Funding Required

RESPONSIBLE PARTY	RELATIVE COST	INTEGRATES WITH
Sheriff's Office (Lead), Office of Communications (Support), Public Works Engineering and Traffic Engineering Divisions (Support)	\$\$	MetroPlan Orlando Speed Management Study (2022)
Office of Communications (Lead)	\$	-
Office of Communications (Lead), All Other Departments (Support)	\$	FDOT "Do Not Disturb" Staff Policy

Action Plan | 63



Proactively plan for a connected and autonomous vehicle fleet and encourage the purchase of vehicles that feature crash prevention technology.

## **ACTION**

Collaborate with Professional Organizations **Furthering** Safe Vehicle **Requirements** 

**Consider Emerging Vehicle Safety** Systems when **Purchasing New** Fleet Vehicles

Publicize the Availability of the **"SAFERCAR"** Phone **Application for Recall Notifications** 

### DESCRIPTION

Vehicles are regulated by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). NHSTA implements laws from Congress. Vehicle seatbelt requirements are an example of this. As autonomous driving, distracted driving, and impaired driving continue, emerging legislation is a tool to reaching zero traffic deaths. Several professional organizations coordinate membership efforts to coalesce behind policy strengthening the transportation field. The American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) maintain policy positions to reduce traffic deaths and injuries, including collaboration for connected and autonomous vehicles, and often make supportive statements to Congress.

Additionally, organizations that lobby to the Legislature and vehicle companies should focus on accessibility or funding for free safety features that would normally be subscription service based, such as connected vehicle technology.

NHTSA has identified rulemaking initiatives for increasing vehicle safety. It is recommended the county compare the year of planned fleet vehicle purchases against the year of potential rule enactments to line up purchases with advanced safety features. For example, automatic emergency braking will be standard in cars and light trucks starting in 2029. This automatic braking will detect both vehicles and pedestrians in both daylight and nighttime hours.

NHTSA developed the "SaferCar" phone application for tracking active recalls. This application will deliver an alert when a recall is published. NHTSA estimates that millions of vehicle recalls each year are not addressed. This application will become especially timely as more autonomous vehicles join our roads -- a failure in autonomous technology can have serious and sudden consequences.

# PERFORMANCE TARGET YEAR MEASURE Number of Staff in Professional Start Year 1 Organizations Fleet vehicles Start Year 3 evaluated Start Year 1 Social notification

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Relative Cost Description: \$ = Low or No Funding Required / Internal Staff Action, \$\$ = Some Funding or Scope of Work Required, \$ = Higher Funding Required



Action Plan I 65



Enhance the survivability of crashes through expedient access to emergency medical care, while creating a safe working environment for vital first responders and preventing secondary crashes through robust traffic incident management

POST CRASH CARE	practices.					
ACTION	DESCRIPTION	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	TARGET YEAR	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	RELATIVE COST	INTEGRATES WITH
Meet with First Responders Regularly	To continue the best practices and data exchange upon the completion of this action plan, it is recommended that Public Works staff, first responders, and medical professionals meet at a defined interval each year to discuss how the barriers and opportunities for reaching Vision Zero are materializing.	Annual meeting	Start Year 2	Fire Department (Lead), Sheriff's Office (Support), Public Works Engineering Division (Support)	\$	-
Track Response Time and Transport Times	Seconds can save lives. To better understand what segments of the transportation system have the best opportunities to maximze response time, and which have the biggest barriers, it is recommended this data be tracked and shared with the Public Works Engineering Division to inform project priority lists.	Crashes tracked per year	Start Year 2	Fire Department (Lead), Sheriff's Office (Support), Public Works Engineering Divison (Support)	\$	-
Supplement Crash Data with First Responder, Hospital, and Trauma Center Data	Using data to supplement Signal4 Analytics and police crash reports can help better understand crash outcomes, such as how a serious injury crash became a deadly crash. Data gaps can include crashes that are not reported to the police or crashes where the police may not be able to collect all relevant information.	Crashes supplemented per year	Start Year 2	MetroPlan Orlando (Lead), Public Works Engineering Division (Support), Fire Department (Support)	\$-\$\$	-
Promote CPR / Emergency First Aid Training	Promoting CPR / Emergency First Aid Training to the community can encourage those trained to support another person in any potentially deadly situation. While waiting for emergency services to arrive on scene of a crash, it can serve as a temporary measure until professional EMS arrives.	CPR courses offered	Start Year 2	Fire Department (Lead)	\$-\$\$	-

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Relative Cost Description: \$ = Low or No Funding Required / Internal Staff Action, \$\$ = Some Funding or Scope of Work Required, \$\$\$ = Higher Funding Required

Action Plan | 67



Maintaining momentum to zero traffic deaths and serious injuries requires a sustained effort. These overarching actions will help us get there.

### **ACTION**

**Develop Vision Zero** 

Host County Vision

Workshop Twice a

Update Action Plan

from Transportation

Mobility Plan

Create / Assign

Convene a Fatal

**Crash Review** 

Commission

**Transportation Safety** 

a Dedicated

**Staff Position** 

Every 5-Years, Offset

Zero Committee

Year

Status Report to

**Track Progress** 

#### DESCRIPTION It is recommended the County's Vision Zero webpage be updated biannually with a status report on Action Plan progress. Basic information should include the list of actions, the status of each action (ongoing, complete, not started), and a summary of recent activities. Recent deadly and serious injury crash data should also be included. MetroPlan Orlando's crash dashboard can be leveraged to populate crash data. To maintain momentum in the Vision Zero Action Plan, it is recommended the County Steering Committee meet twice a year to discuss progress, outstanding actions, and emerging trends would provide productive touch points. The County Committee should meet 3-months in advance of publishing a Vision Zero Status Report to discuss and preview the data.

Similar to the Transportation Plan being updated every five years, it is recommended this Vision Zero Action Plan be updated at the same frequency. Updating both concurrently would provide an opportunity to streamline the safety and crash analysis, however it also requires a greater staff workload commitment. To distribute resources more evenly, it is recommended the Vision Zero plan be updated two to three years prior to the Transportation Mobility Plan update.

Similar to how FDOT is organized with a Safety Office, it is recommended the County consider organizing staff resources to create a dedicated Safety Planner or Engineer position. This staff position could organizationally fit under Public Works and be responsible for overseeing safety on all project phases from planning to construction. This position would drive the execution of actions in this plan, track progress, and coordinate with partner organizations.

A Fatal Crash Review Commission for Seminole County should include emergency medical services such as law enforcement and first responders, engineers, planners, legislators, and government employees to review fatal crash circumstances in depth and make recommendations for potential improvements.

### PERFORMANCE TARGET YEAR MEASURE Annual meeting Pu Office Start Year 1 Development of plan/progress report Adoption of Coun Start Year 1 committee Adoption of Public Start Year 5 updated plan Coun Establishment of the Start Year 1 (Lead position Com

Deadly crashes reviewed

Start Year 2

Metrol Coun Safe

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Relative Cost Description: \$ = Low or No Funding Required / Internal Staff Action, \$\$ = Some Funding or Scope of Work Required, \$ = Higher Funding Required

RESPONSIBLE PARTY	RELATIVE COST	INTEGRATES WITH
Public Works (Lead), fice of Communications (Support)	\$	-
ounty Manager's Office (Lead)	\$	Regional Vision Zero Task Force
ublic Works Engineering Division	\$	2045 Transportation Mobility Plan
ounty Manager's Office ead), Board of County ommissioners (Support)	\$-\$\$\$	-
etroPlan Orlando (Lead), punty Community Traffic Safety Team (Support)	\$-\$\$	-

Action Plan 1 69

# Safety Projects, Implementation, and **Project Prioritization**

Safety projects were developed collaboratively, using insights from the crash trends, high-injury networks, direction from the county steering committee, and community feedback. The process for identifying projects included a system-wide evaluation and a roadwayspecific evaluation. High injury intersections are included in the roadway-specific evaluation. Anticipated project costs and an implementation time were also evaluated. Projects were then prioritized based on:

- Crash scores
- Being within a transportation underserved area
- Anticipated safety benefit
- Being on multiple high injury networks
- Implementation timeline (higher scores for projects) that may be completed in 5 years)

This prioritization approach was also used at the regional level, based on priorities identified by the MetroPlan Orlando Board. A priority list was completed separately for state roads and for county roads. Any city roads on the high injury network are prioritized in the respective city action plan. The maps on the next pages highlight the priority segments. Details of each safety project and the full list of projects is in the Appendix. Projects on city roads are identified in each city's Vision Zero Action Plan.

## **Project Planning Process**

STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4	STEP 5
Analyze Network-Wide Opportunities	Analyze High Injury Network Segments and	Identify Projects	Conduct Prioritization	Develop Implementation Plan
	Top Intersections	Incorporate Steps 1 & 2		
Quantitative and Qualitative	Use Countermeasure Toolkit	Cross Reference Existing Projects	Based on Guidance from Regional Plans	Determine Timeframe and Costs

## Top 5 County Road Project Priority Needs List (Full List in Appendix)

## 1. CR 427 from Palmetto Ave to SR 434 (0.38 miles)

Safety improvements to match context and increase pedestrian connectivity. Safety improvements to increase pedestrian connectivity and reduce speeds. 3. Lake Mary Blvd from SR 46 to Canyon Pt (0.52 miles) Safety improvements to manage off-road crashes. 4. Howell Branch Rd from Bear Gully Rd to SR 426 (0.41 miles) Safety improvements to improve bicycle safety and connectivity with trail. 5. CR 46A from Bright Meadow Dr to Old Lake Mary Rd (2.79 miles) Safety improvements to manage speeds and improve pedestrian connectivity.

- 2. 25th St from Hardy Ave to US 17-92 (0.88 miles)

### Top 5 State Road Project Priority Needs List (Full List in Appendix)

# 1. SR 434 from Wilma St to US 17-92 (1.31 miles)

- 2. SR 46 from Avocado Ave to US 17-92 (0.44 miles)
- 3. US 17-92 from Seminole Blvd to 13th St (1.03 miles) Safety improvements to increase active transportation safety and use.
- 4. SR 46 from Terwillinger Ln to Avocado Ave (0.58 miles) Safety improvements to reduce speeds and angle crashes.
- 5. US 17-92 from 20th St to 27th St (1.1 miles) Safety improvements to increase active transportation safety and use.

The next steps for implementation of these projects may include road safety audits, concept development plans, and feasibility studies.

#### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Safety improvements to manage visibility and multimodal transportation safety.

Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase active transportation.

All needed projects are currently unfunded. Initial funding will come from any successful application to the Safe Streets and Roads (SS4A) for All Grant Program.


VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

- Speed Feedback Sign Candidates (Observed speeds 10+ over the speed limit)
- Fill Lighting Gaps (Missing Roadway Lighting)
- Lane Repurposing Candidates

This map provides systemic safety countermeasures that can be implemented proactively around the county, regardless of whether the road is on the high-injury network. These countermeasures can be implemented independent of the priority projects:

The following strategies are also recommended for evaluation on all principal, major, and minor arterials:

- Leading Pedestrian Intervals
- No Right-Turn on Red Indications
- Corridor Signal Retiming to Match
- Enhanced Transit Stops



### VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

427 from Palmetto Ave to SR 434	Score
n St from Hardy Ave to US 17-92	93.75
e Mary Blvd from SR 46 to Canyon Pt	92.5
well Branch Rd from Bear Gully Rd to SR 426	67.5
46A from Bright Meadow Dr to Old Lake Mary Blvd	62.5
rtin Luther King Blvd from CR 46A to 20th Pl	58.75
e Mary Blvd from I-4 WB Ramps to Country Club Rd	58.75
427 from SR 434 to Lyman Rd	56.25
I Lake Mary Rd from Airport Blvd to Brightview Dr	56.25
ke Mary Blvd from CR 15 to High St	53.75
R 427 from Crystal Creek Dr to Jennifer Ct	53.75
R 15 from North Rd to CR 427	53.75
Ilm Springs Dr from Orlando Ave to Apline Sr	53.75
nford Ave from Hunt Dr to 28th Pl	53.75
rport Blvd from Old Lake Mary Rd to Live Oak Blvd	53.75
R 427 from Rose Dr to Meeting Pl	53.75
R 427 from 14th Ave to Palmetto Ave	52.5
ke Mary Blvd from Ridge Dr to Sanford Ave	52.5
ernational Pkwy from Allure Ln to CR 46A	52.5
well Branch Rd from County Line to Dike Rd	51.25
nehart Rd from SR 46 to Ball Blvd	51.25
nehart Rd from Towne Center Blvd to CR 46A	51.25
celery Ave from Sipes Ave to SR 415	50
eenwood Blvd from Lake Emma Rd to Donegal Ave	50
eenwood Blvd from Lake Mary Blvd to Canterbury Dr	50
ke Emma Rd from Greenwood Blvd to Greenway Blvd	50
ngwood Lake Mary Rd from Acorn Dr to CR 427	50
skawilla Rd from Windcrest PI to Eagle Blvd	50
ow Hill Rd from Jacobs Trl to Yellow Trl	50
nuluota Rd from Old Chuluota Rd to 5th St	50
odd Rd from Red Bug Lake Rd to Dike Rd	50
ekiva Springs Rd from Riverbend Blvd to Fox Valley Dr	50
ed Bug Lake Rd from Brooks Ln to Hollow Pine Dr	50
R 419 from Boston Ave to Louise Ave	48.75
ke Howell Rd from Lake Howell Ln to Willow Ln	48.75
int Club Blvd from Wekiva Trl to Sand Lake Rd	48.75
R 46A from Orange Blvd to Rinehart Rd	46.25
R 46A from Rinehart Rd to Bright Meadow Dr	46.25
ke Mary Blvd from Markham Woods Rd to I-4 WB Ramps	45
cates a change or project has been implemented since	2018



VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

R 434 from Wilma St to US 17-92	Score
R 46 from Avocado Ave to US 17-92	100
17-92 from Seminole Blvd to 13th St	100
17-92 from 20th St to 27th St	95.0
R 46 from Terwillinger Ln to Avocado Ave	95.0
134 from US 17-92 to Belle Ave	95.0
136 from Palm Springs Dr to US 17-92	85.0
7-92 from Live Oak Gardens to South St	85.0
7-92 from Shepard Rd to Golden Days Dr	82.5
436 from US 17-92 to Red Bug Lake Rd	82.5
46 from Central Park Dr to Aero Ln	82.5
17-92 from South St to Spartan Dr	78.75
SR 436 from Montgomery Rd to Palm Springs Dr	77.5
426 from Tuskawilla Rd to SR 417	77.5
R 415 from Celery Ave to SR 46	75.0
17-92 from 27th St to Lake Minnie Dr	70.0
SR 434 from Great Pond Dr to SR 436	67.5
R 434 from Spring Centre South Blvd to Springwood Cir	67.5
434 from Springwood Cir to Palm Springs Dr	67.5
436 from Red Bug Lake Rd to County Boundary	67.5
SR 46 from Cameron Ave to Richmond Ave	57.5
SR 434 from Palm Springs Dr to Wilma St	55.0
436 from Pearl Lake Causeway to Montgomery Rd	55.0
436 from Line Dr to Pearl Lake Causeway	52.5
SR 46 from US 17-92 to Mellonville Ave	52.5
426 from SR 417 to Aloma Woods Blvd	50.0
46 from Oregon St to Central Park Dr	42.5
46 from Mellonville Ave to Hellcat Ln	38.75
426 from Tuskawilla Rd to Old Howell Branch Rd	37.5
434 from Lake Rena Dr to Spring Centre South Blvd	37.5



VISION ZERO CENTRAL FLORIDA 2024 | SEMINOLE COUNTY

Action Plan | 79

# **QUICK BUILD** HIGHLIGHTS

Quick build infrastructure projects are temporary, low-cost installations that can be constructed in a short timeframe, achieve safety goals, and inspire permanent change.

Quick build installations can be achieved with materials such as paint, plastic, rubber, concrete, asphalt, and even foliage! When selecting treatments and materials, consider\*:

- Safety for all users
- Traffic calming
- Visibility and reflectivity
- Size
- Aesthetics
- Ease of procurement
- Accessibility
- Cost
- Target speed

- Durability and duration of

- Traffic volume and
- delay

Countermeasures eligible for quick build projects include but are not limited to:

- Lane repurposing
- Horizontal deflections
- Speed tables/ cushions
- Parklets
- Lane narrowing
- Refuge islands • Buffered bike lanes

• Slow turn wedge

• Curb extensions

The planning phase of a quick build project ranges from 2-6 months, with around 1-3 days needed for installation.

\*adapted from Tactical Urbanist's Guide to Materials and Design, from The Street Plans Collaborative

case of installation	
Stormwater	
mpacts	

- installation
- Maintenance
- Climate

• Medians

Crosswalks

Roundabouts

- Roadway class



Rubber raised crosswalk, Minneapolis, MN

Painted curb extension, Denver, CO

Recycled plastic roundabout, Annandale, VA

Painted curb return radius reduction, New Hope, MN

Rubber and plastic buffered bike lane, Denver, CC





Action Plan | 81

## **Vision Zero** Resolution

The Vision Zero Resolution was adopted by the Seminole County Board of County Commissioners on X/X/XX. The resolution year for Vision Zero is 2050.



**RESOLUTION NO. 2024 R-**

### RESOLUTION

### SEMINOLE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

**INJURIES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.** 

WHEREAS, Vision Zero is a strategy to eliminate all traffic fatalities and severe injuries, WHEREAS, Vision Zero was first implemented in Sweden in the 1990s, has proved

while increasing safe, healthy, equitable mobility for all; and

successful across Europe, and now it is gaining momentum in major American cities; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation adopted a Target Zero initiative and

included the program in their Central Florida Safety Strategic Plan; and

WHEREAS, MetroPlan Orlando was awarded a federal grant under the 2022 Safe Streets and Roads for All Action Plan program to help develop Vision Zero Safety Action Plans for Orange,

Osceola, and Seminole counties, and many of the cities within them; and

WHEREAS, Vision Zero is founded on a Safe System approach that recognizes that people will make mistakes and roadway systems and policies should be designed to protect them through redundancies and shared responsibilities; and

WHEREAS, vehicle crashes that result in fatalities and serious injury are not inevitable, and fatalities and serious injuries are not an acceptable cost for using the public roadway system in the County; and

WHEREAS, traffic deaths and serious injuries in the United States have disproportionately impacted pedestrians, cyclists, people of color, low income households, older adults and youth, people with disabilities, and households with limited vehicle access; and

### SEMINOLE COUNTY, FLORIDA

### of the

### SUPPORTING SEMINOLE COUNTY'S VISION ZERO PLAN TO ELIMINATE ALL TRAFFIC RELATED FATALITIES AND SERIOUS

WHEREAS, from 2018 to 2022 there have been a total of 181 fatalities and 814 serious injuries from traffic crashes in Seminole County, which averages to three fatalities and 14 serious injuries per month for the past five years in Seminole County; and

WHEREAS, the County is hereby recognizing that these crash statistics are not acceptable for citizens, commuters, and visitors who live, work, and play in Seminole County; and

WHEREAS, the County recognizes that if these crash trends continue for the foreseeable future, they would put into jeopardy the future growth of the residential population, employment base, visitors, and the County's reputation as a desirable county for future generations; and

WHEREAS, the County will hereby commit to decreasing the crash statistics by endorsing Vision Zero, which is a safe systems approach and strategy to eliminate all traffic facilities and severe injuries while increasing safe, healthy, equitable mobility for all; and

WHEREAS, Seminole County, in partnership with MetroPlan Orlando will create a Vision Zero Action Plan for the County that focuses on safety as a primary objective through engineering, enforcement, and educational strategies.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of County Commissioners of Seminole County, Florida that:

Section 1. Incorporation of Recitals. The above recitals represent the legislative findings of the Seminole County Board of County Commissioners supporting the need for this Resolution.

Section 2. Adopt a Goal of Zero Fatalities and Serious Injuries. The Board of County Commissioners hereby adopts a goal of eliminating traffic serious injuries and fatalities by 2050 and endorses Vision Zero as a comprehensive and collaborative approach that involves all County departments to achieve this goal.

Section 3. Establishment of a Vision Zero Task Force. A multi-disciplinary county-wide Vison Zero Task Force is to be formed to advise on the development and implementation of a Vision Zero Action Plan and will comprise organizations and agencies with expertise in transportation, education, engineering, public health, emergency response, equality, transit, biking and walking activities.

Section 4. Implementation of Vision Zero Action Plan Projects. County staff shall work beside and in cooperation with fellow jurisdictions, agencies, and stakeholders to implement the projects identified in the Vision Zero Action Plan. Section 5. Effective Date. This Resolution will become effective upon adoption by the Board of County Commissioners.

**ADOPTED** this day of August, 2024

ATTEST:

**GRANT MALOY** Clerk to the Board of County Commissioners of Seminole County, Florida

DGS 07/09/2024 T:\Users\Legal Secretary CSB\Public Works\Resolutions\Seminole County VZ Resolution rev1.docx

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SEMINOLE COUNTY, FLORIDA

### By: JAY ZEMBOWER, Chairman



# CHANGE TAKES TIME

### This is Only the First Step

The Action Plan recommends improvements to many of the corridors and intersections in Seminole County.

As those areas are improved, new needs will emerge — Vision Zero is iterative by its nature.

The next steps include initiating Year 1 Actions and pursuing Federal funding.

### Monitoring Progress is Critical to Evaluating Success

These performance measures are recommended for yearly tracking. They will help identify crash trends in real-time instead of waiting another five years. These performance measures help us understand our progress as we embark on zero traffic deaths by the year 2050.

### **Recommended Performance Measures**

In Seminole County:

Number of deadly traffic crashes

Number of serious injury crashes

Number of vulnerable user deadly traffic crashes

Number of vulnerable user serious injury traffic crashes

Number of deadly or serious injury crashes in USDOT underserved area

### On County Roads:

Number of deadly traffic crashes

### Number of serious injury crashes

Number of vulnerable user deadly traffic crashes

Number of vulnerable user serious injury traffic crashes

Number of deadly or serious injury crashes in USDOT underserved area

Orlando Region are working together to Get to Zero. Together.



### Seminole County is not alone — all Counties and Cities in the MetroPlan

Vision Zero Resolution | 87



**A**. County Road Projects Prioritized Needs List and Project Sheets

**B.** State Road Projects Prioritized Needs List and Project Sheets



# Appendix A: County Road Projects Prioritized Needs List and Project Sheets

### COUNTY ROAD PROJECTS PRIORITIZED NEEDS LIST

PRIORITY RANK	SEGMENT	FROM	то	LENGTH (MILES)	JURISDICTION	СІТҮ	DISADVANTAGED SCORE (OUT OF 5)	MOTOR VEHICLE DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	VULNERABLE USER DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	CRASH SCORE PER MILE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	OPINION OF PROBABLE COST RANGE*	PRIORITY SCORE
1	Ronald Reagan Blvd	Palmetto Ave	SR 434	0.38	County	Longwood	3	2	3	11829	Safety improvements to match C4 context and increase pedestrian connectivity. Increase crosswalk density, add refuge islands, raise intersections, add landscaping buffer and median, and narrow lanes.	\$880,000 - \$1,090,000	93.75
2	25th St	Hardy Ave	US 17	0.88	County	Sanford	3	3	6	10556	Safety improvements increase pedestrian connectivity and reduce speeds. Add refuge islands, raised medians, segment lighting, reduced speed limits, and add shared use path.	\$1,230,000 - \$2,030,000	92.5
3	Lake Mary Blvd	SR 46	Canyon Pt	0.52	County	Unincorporated	1	6	2	8616	Safety improvements to manage off-road crashes. Add high-friction surface treatment, add segment and intersection lighting, add landscaping buffer and median, and make left-turns protected.	\$300,000 - \$1,040,000	67.5
4	Howell Branch Rd	Bear Gully Rd	SR 426	0.41	County	Unincorporated	1	1	2	7187	Safety improvements to improve bicycle safety and connectivity with trail. Add leading pedestrian intervals, curb radius reductions, add bike boxes, and upgrade bicycle crossings.	\$40,000 - \$110,000	62.5
5	H E Thomas Jr Pkwy	Bright Meadow Dr	Old Lake Mary Rd	2.79	County	Sanford	2	7	6	4093	Safety improvements to manage speeds and improve pedestrian connectivity. Add protected left turns, add appropriate speed limits, segment lighting, RRFB, RCUT, and crosswalks at uncontrolled locations.	\$350,000 - \$3,450,000	58.75
6	Martin Luther King Blvd	H E Thomas Jr Pkwy	20th Pl	0.84	County	Sanford	2	3	2	4060	Safety improvements to manage off-road crashes. Add chevron signs on horizontal curves, curve advance warning sign, appropriate speed limits, RCUT, and high friction surface treatment.	\$190,000 - \$1,640,000	58.75
7	Lake Mary Blvd	I-4 WB Ramps	N Country Club Rd	2.66	County	Lake Mary	2	5	7	6133	Safety improvements to increase roadway safety. Add refuge islands, add segment lighting, convert access to RIRO, add landscape buffer and median, add speed feedback sign, and add high visibility crosswalks.	\$1,540,000 - \$11,340,000	56.25
8	Ronald Reagan Blvd	SR 434	Lyman Rd	1.36	County	Longwood	3	4	2	4063	Safety improvements to manage speeds and turning conflicts. Add curb-return radius reduction, retroreflective signal backplates, RCUT, and speed cameras.	\$380,000 - \$4,320,000	56.25
9	Old Lake Mary Rd	Airport Blvd	Brightview Dr/Egrets Landing Dr	0.50	County	Unincorporated	2	0	2	4455	Safety improvements to increase intersection and roadway safety and manage conflicts near railway. Add larger warning signs, RTOR prohibition, separate right-turn phasing, signal head upgrades, appropriate speed limits, and segment lighting.	\$50,000 - \$280,000	53.75
10	Lake Mary Blvd	Country Club Rd	High St	0.47	County	Lake Mary	2	1	0	2137	Safety improvements to manage pedestrian safety. Add a leading pedestrian interval and pedestrian recall, and a refuge island.	\$20,000 - \$90,000	53.75
11	Ronald Reagan Blvd	Crystal Creek Dr	Jennifer Ct	0.90	County	Unincorporated	3	5	0	2052	Safety improvements to manage off-road crashes. Add curve advance warning sign, segment lighting, lane repurposing, superelevation at horizontal curve locations, intersection reconstruction and tightening, a refuge island, buffered bike lanes, and RCUT.	\$320,000 - \$3,890,000	53.75
12	Country Club Rd	North Rd	Ronald Reagan Blvd	0.50	County	Lake Mary	3	3	1	4238	Safety improvements to manage speeds and conflicts. Add segment lighting, RCUT, appropriate speed limits, speed feedback sign, speed cushion, yield to pedestrian sign, and channelized island/smart channel.	\$170,000 - \$2,410,000	53.75
13	Palm Springs Dr	Orlando Ave	Alpine St	0.85	County	Unincorporated	2	2	1	2591	Safety improvements to manage signal and pedestrian visibility. Upgrade signal heads, widen sidewalks, add a roundabout, a raised median, and install/upgrade pedestrian crossings at uncontrolled locations.	\$970,000 - \$1,770,000	53.75

\*Note: Probable cost ranges do not account for right-ofway acquisition, drainage improvements, utility impacts, and potential resurfacing needs or project contingencies. A detailed cost estimate should be prepared as part of conceptual plans. Countermeasure costs were sourced from the MetroPlan Orlando Engineering Countermeasure Toolkit.

### COUNTY ROAD PROJECTS PRIORITIZED NEEDS LIST

PRIORITY RANK	SEGMENT	FROM	то	LENGTH (MILES)	JURISDICTION	СІТҮ	DISADVANTAGED SCORE (OUT OF 5)	MOTOR VEHICLE DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	VULNERABLE USER DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	CRASH SCORE PER MILE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	OPINION OF PROBABLE COST RANGE*	PRIORITY SCORE
14	Sanford Ave	Hunt Dr	28th Pl	0.5	County	Sanford	2	2	1	4506	Safety improvements to manage speeds. Add yellow and all red time extension, appropriate speed limits, lane repurposing, speed feedback sign, upgraded striping, and crosswalk restriping.	\$10,000 - \$870,000	53.75
15	Airport Blvd	Old Lake Mary Rd	Live Oak Blvd	0.4	County	Sanford	2	1	0	2757	Safety improvements to manage conflicts with a perpendicular railroad and speed. Add lane repurposing, intersection tightening, RRFB, RTOR prohibition, separate right turn phasing, intersection lighting, and speed cameras in the school zone.	\$110,000 - \$800,000	53.75
16	Ronald Reagan Blvd	Rose Dr	Meeting Pl	1.62	County	Sanford	1	5	6	5852	Safety improvements to improve pedestrian safety, crossing conditions, and roadway safety. Add intersection and segment lighting, refuge islands, pedestrian crossings, RRFB, RCUT, and signal head upgrades.	\$420,000 - \$5,330,000	52.5
17	Ronald Reagan Blvd	14th Ave	Palmetto Ave	0.57	County	Longwood	1	2	2	4957	Safety improvements to manage speeds and enhance user awareness and visibility. Add segment lighting, RCUTs, appropriate speed limits, speed feedback sign, raised intersection, enhanced daylighting/slow turn wedge, larger warning signs, and upgraded strip.	\$280,000 - \$2,490,000	52.5
18	Lake Mary Blvd	Ridge Dr	Sanford Ave	2.12	County	Sanford	1	12	2	3818	Safety improvements to manage turning conflicts. Add curve advance warning sign, segment and intersection lighting, yellow and all red extension time, permissive protected left turns, appropriate speed limits, slip lane closure, speed feedback signs, upgrade intersection pavement markings, and upgrade signal head.	\$880,000 - \$1,940,000	52.5
19	International Pkwy	Allure Ln	H E Thomas Jr Pkwy/CR 46A	0.58	County	Unincorporated	0	2	2	5368	Safety improvements to manage conflicts in time and improve pedestrian connectivity. Add segment lighting, traffic signal, RCUT, striping upgrades, pedestrian crossings, and RRFB.	\$230,000 - \$2,580,000	51.25
20	Howell Branch Rd	County Line	Dike Rd/ Tangerine Ave	2.09	County	Casselberry	0	7	7	6010	Safety improvements to manage speeds. Add segment and intersection lighting, RCUT, upgraded striping, hardened median nose extension, landscaped buffer, and speed feedback sign.	\$420,000 - \$5,330,000	51.25
21	Rinehart Rd	SR 46	WP Ball Blvd	0.79	County	Sanford	2	4	0	4125	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase roadway safety. Manage accesses along corridor, add segment lighting, high friction surface treatment, signal head upgrade, and speed feedback sign.	\$220,000 - \$1,080,000	51.25
22	Rinehart Road	Towne Center Blvd	H E Thomas Jr Pkwy/CR 46A	0.81	County	Sanford	1	2	1	3521	Safety improvements to manage speeds and left turn conflicts. Add yellow and all red time extension, protected left turns, striping upgrades, appropriate speed limits, speed feedback signs.	\$10,000 - \$50,000	50
23	Celery Ave	Sipes Ave	SR 415	1.41	County	Unincorporated	1	1	4	3132	Safety improvements to manage intersection visibility and signage. Add intersection lighting, roundabouts, permissive protected left turns, and LED enhanced sign.	\$60,000 - \$1,060,000	50
24	Greenwood Blvd	Lake Emma Rd	Donegal Ave	0.46	County	Lake Mary	1	2	1	3912	Safety improvements to manage left-turn crashes. Add RCUT, protected left turns, traffic signals, roundabouts, and lane repurposing.	\$200,000 - \$3,380,000	50
25	Greenwood Blvd	Lake Mary Blvd	Canterbury Dr	0.43	County	Lake Mary	1	2	1	4732	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase attentiveness. Add lane repurposing, doubled-up, oversized stop signs, curb-return radius return, and speed cushions.	\$200,000 - \$620,000	50
26	Lake Emma Rd	Greenwood Blvd	Green Way Blvd	1.11	County	Lake Mary	1	3	1	2315	Safety improvements to manage off-road crashes and improve pedestrian safety. Add segment and intersection lighting, pedestrian crossing time extension, a roundabout, and lane repurposing.	\$1,000 - \$1,300,000	50

\*Note: Probable cost ranges do not account for right-ofway acquisition, drainage improvements, utility impacts, and potential resurfacing needs or project contingencies. A detailed cost estimate should be prepared as part of conceptual plans. Countermeasure costs were sourced from the MetroPlan Orlando Engineering Countermeasure Toolkit.

### **COUNTY ROAD PROJECTS PRIORITIZED NEEDS LIST**

PRIORITY RANK	SEGMENT	FROM	το	LENGTH (MILES)	JURISDICTION	СІТҮ	DISADVANTAGED SCORE (OUT OF 5)	MOTOR VEHICLE DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	VULNERABLE USER DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	CRASH SCORE PER MILE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	OPINION OF PROBABLE COST RANGE*	PRIORITY SCORE
27	Longwood Lake Mary Rd	Acorn Dr	Ronald Reagan Blvd	0.50	County	Unincorporated	1	0	2	4455	Safety improvements to improve bicycle safety and manage speeds. Add LED- enhanced signage, separated bikeway, speed feedback sign, intersection lighting, fill the sidewalk gap, and install/upgrade pedestrian crossing.	\$130,000 - \$190,000	50
28	Tuskawilla Rd	Windcrest Pl	Eagle Blvd/ Amherst Way	0.75	County	Unincorporated	1	3	1	3487	Safety improvements to manage speeds and turning conflicts. Add segment lighting, appropriate speed limits, yellow and all red time extension, permissive protected left turns, flourescent sheeting sign upgrades.	\$1,000 - \$380,000	50
29	Snow Hill Rd	Jacobs Trl	Yellow Trail PI/ Avenue H	0.64	County	Unincorporated	1	0	2	3070	Safety improvements to manage speed. Add appropriate speed limits, doubled- up oversized stop signs, curb-return radius reduction, roundabouts, and speed feedback signs.	\$90,000 - \$1,120,000	50
30	Chuluota Rd	Old Chuluota Rd	5th St	0.98	County	Unincorporated	1	4	۱	2510	Safety improvements to manage visibility along curve and reduce speed and turning conflicts. Add segment and intersection lighting, curve advance warning signs, curb-return radius reduction, raised median, access managament, and a roundabout.	\$1,040,000 - \$2,560,000	50
31	Dodd Rd	Red Bug Lake Rd	Dike Rd	0.76	County	Unincorporated	1	3	۱	3200	Safety improvements to manage speeds and more specifically, speeds around curves. Add appropriate speed limits, curve advance warning signs, segment lighting, lane repurposing, roundabouts, permissive protected left turns and raised medians.	\$770,000 - \$3,330,000	50
32	Wekiva Springs Rd	Riverbend Blvd	Fox Valley Dr	0.37	County	Unincorporated	1	1	1	4072	Safety improvements to manage speeds and driver attentiveness. Add yellow and all-red time extension, raised median, curve advance warning sign, speed feedback sign, and flourescent sheeting on signs.	\$530,000 - \$710,000	50
33	Red Bug Lake Rd	Brooks Ln/ Rising Sun Blvd	Hollow Pine Dr	1.52	County	Unincorporated	0	4	3	3734	Safety improvements to manage turning conflicts and improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety. Add segment and intersection lighting, shared use path, leading pedestrian interval, refuge islands, RRFB, and speed feedback signs.	\$790,000 - \$1,550,000	48.75
34	E Broadway St	Boston Ave	Louise Ave	0.59	County	Oviedo	0	3	1	3514	Safety improvements to manage turning conflicts and pedestrian visibility. Add a roundabout at Stephen Ave, control access management, install/upgrade pedestrian crossing, remove obstructions for sightlines, intersection reconstruction and tightening, raised median, widened sidewalks and/or bike facilities, and widen/ pave shoulder.	\$760,000 - \$1,490,000	48.75
35	Lake Howell Rd	Lake Howell Ln	Willow Ln	0.96	County	Casselberry	3	0	0	588	Safety improvements to manage intersection conflicts. Add a roundabout, segment lighting, and RCUT.	\$200,000 - \$2,980,000	48.75
36	H E Thomas Jr Pkwy	Orange Blvd	Rinehart Rd	0.95	County	Unincorporated	0	1	2	3904	Safety improvements to improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety. Add doubled-up and oversized stop signs, segment lighting, yellow and all red time extension, and permissive protected left turns.	\$1,000 - \$490,000	46.25
37	Hunt Club Blvd	Wekiva Trl	Sand Lake Rd	0.50	County	Unincorporated	0	0	2	4354	Safety improvements to improve bicyclist and pedestrian safety. Add curb ramps for ADA compliance, curb extension, high visibility crosswalk, RRFB, separated bikeway, and refuge island.	\$20,000 - \$90,000	46.25
38	H E Thomas Jr Pkwy	Rinehart Rd	Bright Meadow Dr	0.57	County	Lake Mary	1	0	1	2516	Safety improvements to manage turning conflicts and improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety. Add segment lighting, curve advance warning signs, landscape buffer, and protected left turns.	\$1,000 - \$640,000	45
39	Lake Mary Blvd	Markham Woods Rd	I-4 WB Ramps	0.99	County	Unincorporated	0	2	1	2800	Safety improvements to manage speed and intersection functionality. Add appropriate speed limits, speed feedback signs, extend yellow and all red time, striping through intersection, and RCUT.	\$70,000 - \$1,040,000	41.25

\*Note: Probable cost ranges do not account for right-ofway acquisition, drainage improvements, utility impacts, and potential resurfacing needs or project contingencies. A detailed cost estimate should be prepared as part of conceptual plans. Countermeasure costs were sourced from the MetroPlan Orlando Engineering Countermeasure Toolkit.

Appendix: County Road Projects Prioritized Needs List and Project Sheets | A10

## County Road Projects Project Sheets

### 1. Ronald Reagan Blvd, Palmetto Ave to SR 434

C4

30

50

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

Deadly

0 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

0 Motorist

5 Total KSI

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

2 Motorist

0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features

### **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Street parking from Warren Ave to Palmetto Ave, bike lanes, parking buffer, retroreflective backplates









**Bus Stops** 

### 2. 25th St, Hardy Ave to US 17

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

1 Bicyclist

1 Motorist

0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features

45

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

Deadly

3 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

2 Motorist

9 Total KSI

### **Existing Conditions**

C3R	Context Classification
45	Posted Speed Limit
51	Operating Speed

Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, retroreflective backplates

# 0.88 Miles Jurisdiction: County Crash Score: 10,556



### **Potential Solutions**



### 3. Lake Mary Blvd, SR 46 to Canyon Pt

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Serious Injury Deadly C3R 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 50 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist 63 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features 1 Motorist 5 Motorist 8 Total KSI

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, bike lanes

**Potential Solutions** 





FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Serious Injury Deadly C3R 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 40 0 Bicyclist 2 Bicyclist 52 O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

0 Motorist

426

- 3 Total KSI
- 1 Motorist

### Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, Cady Way Trail and Cross Seminole Trail at Howell Branch Rd and SR 426 intersection

Context Classification

### 0.41 Miles Crash Score: 7,187





# **Potential Solutions**

Radius

Reduction

Leading **Pedestrian** Interval

**Curb-Return Bike Box** 

Bicycle Crossing

### Jurisdiction: County

**Bus Stops** 

### 5. H E Thomas Jr Pkwy, Bright Meadow Dr to Old Lake Mary

C3R

45

52

### Crash History (2018 - 22) Serious Injury Deadly 2 Pedestrian 2 Pedestrian

- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorist
- 13 Total KSI
- 0 Bicyclist
- 1 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
  - 7 Motorist

### **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks



Install/Upgrade Pedestrian Crossing at **Uncontrolled Locations** 







### **Potential Solutions**



Appropriate Speed Limits



**Reduced Left Turn Conflict** Intersection

Segment Lighting

Protected Left Turns

Motor Vehicle

Bicycle

Motorcycle

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

**(** 

Head On

Left Turn

Rear End

**Bus Stops** 

Rollover

Off Road

Other

### 6. Martin Luther King Blvd, H E Thomas Jr Pkwy to 20th Pl

C3R

45

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Deadly

- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Pedestrian

3 Motorist

Serious Injury

- 0 Bicyclist 59
- 1 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI

Context Classification

Posted Speed Limit

- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median

### **Potential Solutions**



Warning

Sign

Signs on **Horizontal** Curves



Appropriate Speed Limits



Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersection



**High Friction** Treatment





CRA	SH MODE:	CRAS	SH TYPE:		
R	Pedestrian	9	Angle	69	Sideswipe
6	Bicycle	8	Head On	0	Rollover
3	Motorcycle	0	Left Turn	0	Off Road
-	Motor Vehicle		Rear End	۲	Other
_					

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE



**Bus Stops** 

### 7. Lake Mary Blvd, I-4 WB Ramps to N **Country Club Rd**

C3C

35

51

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 3 Pedestrian 1 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 1 Bicyclist 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features 0 Motorist
  - 5 Motorist

Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, trailhead at Lake Mary Blvd and Rinehart Rd. striping through intersection, reduced

left-turn conflict intersections







12 Total KSI

Death

**Bus Stops** 

### 8. Ronald Reagan Blvd, SR 434 to Lyman Rd

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly Serious Injury 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 6 Total KSI
- 4 Motorist

### C3C 35 48

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks

### **Potential Solutions**







### Jurisdiction: County



**Bus Stops** 

Death

### 9. Old Lake Mary Road, Airport Blvd to Brightview Dr/Egrets Landing Dr

C3R

45

51

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- O Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features

0 Motorist

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

- 0 Motorist
- 2 Total KSI

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Railroad along the eastern side of Old Lake Mary Blvd



### **Potential Solutions**



Death

**Bus Stops** 

### 10. Lake Mary Blvd, Country Club Rd to High St

C3C

45

53

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 1 Total KSI
- 1 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, sidewalks





### **Potential Solutions**



Leading **Pedestrian** Interval and **Pedestrian** Recall



Refuge Island at Lake Mary Blvd & **Country Club Rd** 



### 11. Ronald Reagan Blvd, Crystal Creek Dr to Jennifer Ct

C3R

45

55

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

5 Motorist

O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

### **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks

and Tightening

### 5 Total KSI

0 Motorist

0 Bicyclist

Deadly

0 Pedestrian

### **Potential Solutions**





### 12. Country Club Dr, North Rd to Ronald **Reagan Blvd**

C3C

40

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 1 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist
  - 0 Bicyclist 47
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 4 Total KSI
- 2 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, high
  - visibility crosswalks

### **Potential Solutions**







FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

### Jurisdiction: County



**Bus Stops** 

Death

### 13. Palm Springs Dr, Orlando Ave to **Alpine St**

C3R

30

42

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features 2 Motorist
- 0 Motorist
- 3 Total KSI

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Sidewalks, high-visibility crosswalks



### **Potential Solutions**







### Raised Median





**Bus Stops** 

Projects: There are planned improvements along Palm Springs Dr north of North St

### 14. Sanford Ave, Hunt Dr to 28th Pl

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Context Classification
Posted Speed Limit
Operating Speed
Determined and the later

Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, sidewalks

### Serious Injury C3C 1 Pedestrian 45

0 Bicyclist 49

O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

1 Motorist

1 Motorist

0 Bicyclist

0 Pedestrian

Deadly

3 Total KSI

### **Potential Solutions**





Lane

Repurposing

YOUR



Upgrade **Striping** 





FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

0.50 Miles

Crash Score: 4,506

Restripe

Crosswalk

### Jurisdiction: County

**Bus Stops** 

Death

### 15. Airport Blvd, Old Lake Mary Rd to Live Oak Blvd

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

0 Bicyclist

0 Motorist

O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

Deadly

0 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

1 Motorist

1 Total KSI

### **Existing Conditions**

Serious Injury Context Classification C3R 0 Pedestrian Posted Speed Limit 45

54

- **Operating Speed** 
  - Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, school zone





Cameras in **School Zone** 



Lighting on-Red



**Prohibit Right-Turn-**

Lane Repurposing

Separate **Right-Turn** Phasing

Intersection Tightening

111111



Flashing **Beacon** as Advance Warning



FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

### 16. Ronald Reagan Blvd, Rose Dr to Meeting Pl

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly Pedestrian Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist Motorcyclist 3 Motorcyclist Features 1 Motorist 4 Motorist
- 11 Total KSI

### Posted Speed Limit 45 56

C3R

**Operating Speed** Medians, sidewalks, high-visibility crosswalks

Context Classification

**Potential Solutions** 



Lighting

Intersection Lighting







Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersection



Install/Upgrade Pedestrian Crossing at

Refuge Rectangular Island Rapid Flashing Beacon





\*Deaths shown with icon outlined in black Death

**Bus Stops** 

Projects: There are planned improvements at Ronald Reagan Blvd and Lake Mary Blvd

### 17. Ronald Reagan Blvd, 14th Ave to Palmetto Ave

Crash History	y (2018 - 22)	Existing	Condition	5		Terra	
<ul> <li>Deadly</li> <li>Pedestrian</li> <li>Bicyclist</li> <li>Motorcyclist</li> <li>Motorist</li> <li>Total KSI</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Serious Injury</li> <li>Pedestrian</li> <li>Bicyclist</li> <li>Motorcyclist</li> <li>Motorist</li> </ul>	C3C* 45 50 Features	Context Cla Posted Spee Operating S Raised medi	ssification ed Limit peed an, sidewall			
Potential Solu	utions		Segment Lighting W	Upgrade to Larger Varning Signs			
Raised Intersection at Palmetto	nced Upgrade phting/Striping	Reduced Left- Turn Conflict Intersection	Appropriate Speed Limits	Speed Feedback Sign	CRASH MODE: O Pedestrian O Bicycle O Motorcycle	CRASH TYPE: Angle Head On Left Turn Regr End	Sideswipe Rollover Off Road
	-						

### Jurisdiction: County

0.57 Miles

Crash Score: 4,957

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE



**Bus Stops** 

)

### 18. Lake Mary Blvd, Ridge Dr to Sanford Ave

C3C

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Serious Injury Deadly 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist 2 Motorist 10 Motorist 14 Total KSI

### **Potential Solutions**

### 1 Pedestrian 45 54 Motorcyclist 0 Motorcyclist Features



Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks





### 19. International Pkwy, Allure Ln to H E Thomas Jr Pkwy/ CR 46A

C3C

45

49

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

Deadly

1 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

0 Motorist

4 Total KSI

### **Existing Conditions**

Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** 

Raised median, midblock crossing, highvisibility crosswalks, Seminole Wekiva Trail along west side, RRFB



Segment Lighting



**Potential Solutions** 

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

1 Bicyclist

2 Motorist

O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

Traffic Signal, Colonial Grand

Reduced Left-Turn

Conflict

Intersection

Upgrade Intersection Pavement **Markings** 



Install/Upgrade Pedestrian Crossing at Uncontrolled Locations



Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon





0.58 Miles

### Jurisdiction: County

Death

**Bus Stops** 

There is a planned traffic signal Projects: at Allure Ln

### 20. Howell Branch Rd, County Line to Dike **Rd/Tangerine Ave**

1	ash History	Existing		
2	eadly	erious Injury	C3R	
)	Pedestrian	1	Pedestrian	40
)	Bicyclist	0	Bicyclist	54
	Motorcyclist	5	Motorcyclist	Features
l	Motorist	6	Motorist	
4	Total KSI			

### xisting Conditions

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, trail crossing, traffic signals, high-visibility crosswalks





**Bus Stops** 

### 21. Rinehart Rd, SR 46 to WP Ball Blvd

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

D	eadly	Se	erious Injury	C3C
0	Pedestrian	0	Pedestrian	45
0	Bicyclist	0	Bicyclist	48
0	Motorcyclist	0	Motorcyclist	Features
1	Motorist	3	Motorist	

Total KSI 4

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, high-
- visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, trail on east side

### **Potential Solutions**





### 22. Rinehart Road, Towne Center Blvd to H E Thomas Jr Pkwy/ CR 46A

C3C

45

52

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

- Motorcyclist **0** Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 3 Total KSI
- 2 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks

### **Potential Solutions**







FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

0.81 Miles

\*Deaths shown with icon outlined in black Death

**Bus Stops** 

There is a planned traffic signal Projects: for the Sam's Club southern driveway
## 23. Celery Ave, Sipes Ave to SR 415

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

- 2 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI
- 1 Motorist

### Context Classification N/A Posted Speed Limit 45 59

- **Operating Speed**
- High-visibility crosswalks

### **Potential Solutions**







### Jurisdiction: County

1.41 Miles

## 24. Greenwood Blvd, Lake Emma Rd to **Donegal Ave**

C3R

35

43

Crash History (2018 - 22)										
D	eadly	Se	Serious Injury							
0	Pedestrian	0	Pedestrian							
0	Bicyclist	0	Bicyclist							

Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features

2 Motorist

### **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, sidewalks





### **Potential Solutions**



0

0

Motorist

3 Total KSI

Reduced Left-**Turn Conflict** Intersections



**Protected Left** Turns

**Traffic Signal** 





Repurposing

0.46 Miles

## 25. Greenwood Blvd, Lake Mary Blvd to Canterbury Dr

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 1 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features 2 Motorist
- 0 Motorist
- 3 Total KSI

- 43

C3C

35

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Sidewalks, trail, raised median, cycle track

### **Potential Solutions**







## 26. Lake Emma Rd, Greenwood Blvd to **Green Way Blvd**

C3C

45

51

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly Serious Injury 1 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 4 Total KSI
- 3 Motorist

Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, bike lanes

Context Classification

**Potential Solutions** 





**Crossing Time** 



Roundabout Lane Repurposing





FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

1.11 Miles

### A63

## 27. Longwood Lake Mary Rd, Acorn Dr to Ronald Reagan Blvd

40

48

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

C3C Context Classification

Posted Speed Limit

Operating Speed

Sidewalk

0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

1 Bicyclist

0 Motorist

0 Motorist

0 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

2 Total KSI

Deadly

### **Potential Solutions**



# 0.50 Miles Jurisdiction: County Crash Score: 4,455 Cold M Death



### 28. Tuskawilla Rd, Windcrest Pl to Eagle **Blvd/Amherst Way**

C3C

45

53

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

0 Bicyclist

3 Motorist

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 4 Total KSI

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks

0.75 Miles Crash Score: 3,487



### **Potential Solutions**





**Extend** 









**Upgrade Signs** with Fluorescent Sheeting

CRA	SH MODE:	CRAS	SH TYPE:		
R	Pedestrian	-	Angle	69	Sideswipe
<b>%</b>	Bicycle	8	Head On	0	Rollover
3	Motorcycle	0	Left Turn	0	Off Road
-	Motor Vehicle	0	Rear End		Other

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

A67

### Jurisdiction: County

**Bus Stops** 

Death

### 29. Snow Hill Rd, Jacobs Trl to Yellow Trail **PI/Avenue H**

C3R

45

61

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- Serious Injury 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 1 Bicyclist

0 Motorist

- O Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 2 Total KSI

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
  - **Operating Speed**

YOUR

SPEED

Sidewalk

### Crash Score: 3,070

0.64 Miles









FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

### **Potential Solutions**



### Jurisdiction: County

- **Bus Stops**

## 30. Chuluota Rd, Old Chuluota Rd to 5th St



0.98 Miles Jurisdiction: County Crash Score: 2,510





## 31. Dodd Rd, Red Bug Lake Rd to Dike Rd

C3R

40

52

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Context Classification

Posted Speed Limit

**Operating Speed** 

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 4 Total KSI

### 2 Motorist

### **Potential Solutions**





### 32. Wekiva Springs Rd, Riverbend Blvd to Fox Valley Dr

C3C

35

49



0 Pedestrian

- 0 Bicyclist
  - 0 Bicyclist

0 Pedestrian

1 Motorcyclist 0 Motorcyclist Features

1 Motorist

- 0 Motorist
- 2 Total KSI

### **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification
- Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Sidewalks









### **Potential Solutions**



### Jurisdiction: County

### 33. Red Bug Lake Rd, Brooks Ln/Rising Sun **Blvd to Hollow Pine Dr**

C3C

45

55

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 1 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 7 Total KSI
- 3 Motorist

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, sidewalks







**Potential Solutions** 

Intersection Shared Use Segment Lighting Lighting Path

Leading **Pedestrian** Interval

Refuge Islands

### Jurisdiction: County



## 34. E Broadway St, Boston Ave to Louise Ave

C3C

30

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 1 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian O Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 4 Total KSI
- 3 Motorist
- 49
- Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks

Widen/Pave Raised Median

Context Classification

**Potential Solutions** 



Roundabout



Access Management





Shoulder

Install/Upgrade Remove **Obstructions Pedestrian** For Sightline Crossing at Uncontrolled Locations



Intersection Reconstruction and Tightening at Stephen Ave/Academy Ave



FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

## 35. Lake Howell Rd, Lake Howell Ln to Willow Ln

C3C

35

42

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features 0 Motorist 0 Motorist
- 0 Total KSI

Segment

Lighting

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** 
  - High-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks

### **Potential Solutions**



Roundabout



**Reduced Left-Turn Conflict** Intersection





## 36. Hunt Club Blvd, Wekiva Trl to Sand Lake Rd

C3R

35

43

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Serious Injury Deadly 0 Pedestrian 1 Pedestrian Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist 1 O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

0 Motorist

- 0 Motorist
- 2 Total KSI

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks

### **Potential Solutions**







FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

### Jurisdiction: County

* Wekiva Trl	
	9
	AND BIL
	Sut Di
	E Beau C
	AREA I
	46447 2 7 2
	BARREN & San
	PERMIT
10.15 - 51 (0.10) (0.10)	In the last the second
E PARPY	HERE A
Sugar	HEREN E
医中心性	DOM NOT BE OF
Constants	
P. P. H. H. H. H.	RUNKER L
1. 石田田市市	Sectional E
******	A HUNKED

\*Deaths shown with icon outlined in black Death **Bus Stops** Projects: There are planned

improvements to add an RRFB at Beaufort Dr

## 37. H E Thomas Jr Pkwy, Orange Blvd to **Rinehart Rd**

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 2 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian O Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorist
- 3 Total KSI
- 1 Motorist

### Context Classification C3C Posted Speed Limit 40

- **Operating Speed** 46 O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features Raised median, high
  - visibility crosswalks





FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

**Potential Solutions** 



## 38. H E Thomas Jr Pkwy, Rinehart Rd to Bright Meadow Dr

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- Bicyclist
- 0 Pedestrian

Serious Injury

- 0 Bicyclist 53
- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 1 Total KSI
- 0 Motorist

## C3R Context Classification40 Posted Speed Limit

- Operating Speed
- High-visibility crosswalks







### **Potential Solutions**



### Jurisdiction: County

## 39. Lake Mary Blvd, Markham Woods Rd to I-4 WB Ramps

C3C

45

54

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

2 Motorist

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 3 Total KSI

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, highvisibility crosswalks, traffic signals





### **Potential Solutions**



## Appendix B: State Road Projects Prioritized Needs List and Project Sheets

### **STATE ROAD PROJECTS** PRIORITIZED NEEDS LIST

PRIORITY RANK	SEGMENT	FROM	то	LENGTH (MILES)	JURISDICTION	СІТҮ	DISADVANTAGED SCORE (OUT OF 5)	MOTOR VEHICLE DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	VULNERABLE USER DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	CRASH SCORE PER MILE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	OPINION OF PROBABLE COST RANGE*	PRIORITY SCORE
1	SR 434	Wilma St	US 17-92	1.31	State	Longwood	3	4	6	7673	Safety improvements to manage visibility and multi-modal transportation safety. Add segment lighting, RCLT, raised medians, retroreflective signal backplates, pedestrian hybrid beacon, and co-locate bus stops and pedestrian crossings.	\$1,470,000 - \$5,530,000	100
2	SR 46	Avocado Ave	US 17-92	0.44	State	Sanford	3	0	3	7440	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase active transportation safety/ utilization. Add segment lighting, RCLT, RRFB, appropriate speed limits, sidewalks and fill sidewalk gaps, a raised median at east of Avocado Avenue, buffered bike lanes, speed feedback signs, and narrow the lanes.	\$1,200,000 - \$1,960,000	100
3	US 17-92	Seminole Blvd	13th St	1.03	State	Sanford	3	0	5	7414	Safety improvements to increase active transportation safety/utilization. Add raised medians, appropriate speed limits, pedestrian hybrid beacons, bike lines, landscaped medians, upgraded LED lighting, and narrow the lanes.	\$3,280,000 - \$4,410,000	95
4	US 17-92	20th St	27th St	1.1	State	Sanford	3	6	W5	8812	Safety improvements to increase active transporation safety/utilization. Add a raised median, RRFB, bike lanes, landscaped median, increased crosswalk density, narrow the lanes, upgrade to LED lighting, and choose appropriate speed limits.	\$3,350,000 - \$4,160,000	95
5	SR 46	Terwilliger Ln	Avocado Ave	0.58	State	Sanford	3	3	3	8284	Safety improvements to reduce speeds and angle crashes. Add segment lighting, RCLT, sidewalks and fill sidewalk gaps, RRFB, buffered bike lanes, speed feedback signs, narrow the lanes, and choose appropriate speed limits.	\$1,360,000 - \$3,530,000	95
6	SR 434	US 17-92	Belle Ave	0.7	State	Casselberry, Longwood, Winter Springs	2	5	2	7553	Safety improvements to manage speeds and active transportation safety/utilization. Add segment lighting, separated bikeway, refuge island at Belle Avenue, and curb return radius reduction.	\$130,000 - \$550,000	85
7	SR 436	Palm Springs Dr	US 17-92	2.04	State	Altamonte Springs	3	4	3	5552	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase visibility. Add curb return radius reduction, speed feedback signs, retroreflective signal backplates, access management, extend yellow and all red time, upgrade intersection pavement markings, and ad a shared-use path.	\$1,060,000 - \$1,410,000	85
8	US 17-92	Live Oak Gdns	South St	1.23	State	Casselberry	3	4	1	3929	Safety improvements to increase active transportation safety/utilization and manage speeds. Add appropriate speed limits, raised medians from Ridge Road to South Street, a separated bikeway, green conflict striping, refuge islands between South Street and Lake of Woods Boulevard, extend pedestrian crossing time, curb return radius reduction, and enhanced daylighting/slow turn wedge.	\$1,360,000 - \$2,110,000	82.5
9	US 17-92	Shepard Rd	Gold Days Dr	2.45	State	Longwood	3	8	9	6494	Safety improvements to increase active transportation safety/utilization. Add extended yellow and all red time at SR 434 & US 17/92, landscaped buffers, shared- use paths, green conflict striping, co-locate bus stops and pedestrian crossings, and access management.	\$1,820,000 - \$2,580,000	82.5
10	SR 436	US 17-92	Red Bug Lake Rd	1.48	State	Casselberry	1	5	6	9327	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase active transportation safety/ utilization. Add extended yellow and all red time, curve advance warning signs, leading pedestrian interval and pedestrian recall, directional median openings to restrict left turns, painted centrelines and raised pavement markers at curves, appropriate speed limits, refuge islands between US 17-92 and Oxford Road, and separated bikeways.	\$740,000 - \$4,640,000	82.5
11	SR 46	Central Park Dr	Aero Ln	0.43	State	Unincorporated	2	1	2	7121	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase active transportation safety/ utilization. Add segment lighting, access management (RIRO at White Cedar, close left turn), shared-use path, and intsall/upgrade pedestrian crossings at uncontrolled locations.	\$640,000 - \$970,000	78.75

B3

\*Note: Probable cost ranges do not account for right-ofway acquisition, drainage improvements, utility impacts, and potential resurfacing needs or project contingencies. A detailed cost estimate should be prepared as part of conceptual plans. Countermeasure costs were sourced from the MetroPlan Orlando Engineering Countermeasure Toolkit.

### **STATE ROAD PROJECTS** PRIORITIZED NEEDS LIST

PRIORITY RANK	SEGMENT	FROM	то	LENGTH (MILES)	JURISDICTION	CITY	DISADVANTAGED SCORE (OUT OF 5)	MOTOR VEHICLE DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	VULNERABLE USER DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	CRASH SCORE PER MILE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	OPINION OF PROBABLE COST RANGE*	PRIORITY SCORE
12	US 17-92	South St	Spartan Dr	0.92	State	Unincorporated	1	12	4	9882	Safety improvements to manage speeds on curves and increase pedestrian safety. Add upgraded striping, a raised median from Ridge Road to South Street, directional median openings to restrict left turn, extend pedestrian crossing time, access management, refuge islands between South Street and Lake of Woods Boulevard, curve advance warning signs, and appropriate speed limits.	\$320,000 - \$2,390,000	77.5
13	SR 436	Montgomery Rd	Palm Springs Dr	1.76	State	Altamonte Springs	1	8	5	10210	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase pedestrian safety. Add a refuge island at Festival Drive, curb return radius reduction, speed feedback signage, enhanced daylighting/slow turn wedge, extend pedestrian crossing time, shared-use path, and access management.	\$1,090,000 - \$1,700,000	77.5
14	SR 426	Tuskawilla Rd	SR 417	0.45	State	Unincorporated	0	2	3	10528	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase active transportation safety/ utilization. Add separated bikeways, green conflict stiping, curb-return radius reduction, extend yellow and all red time at SR 417 intersections, intersections and segment lighting, and appropriate speed limits.	\$270,000 - \$610,000	75
15	Lake Mary Blvd	Celery Ave	SR 46	0.89	State	Unincorporated	1	1	6	7576	Safety improvements to increase visibility and reduce speeds. Add appropriate speeds limits, upgraded striping, a traffic signal at Celery Avenue, a separated bikeway, and intersection and segment lighting.	\$260,000 - \$860,000	70
16	US 17-92	27th St	Lake Minnie Dr/Collins Dr	2.12	State	Sanford	2	4	6	5882	Safety improvements to increase active transportation safety/utilization. Add green conflict markings, high-visibility crosswalks (all side streets & intersection legs), landscaped buffer and median, shared-use path, and utilize access management.	\$700,000 - \$1,450,000	67.5
17	SR 434	Great Pond Dr	SR 436	0.73	State	Altamonte Springs	2	3	2	6629	Safety improvements to manage active transportation safety and speeds. Add buffered bike lanes, refuge islands, raised medians, RCLT, speed feedback signs, and narrow the lanes.	\$1,860,000 - \$4,070,000	67.5
18	SR 434	Spring Centre South Blvd	Springwood Cir	0.61	State	Longwood	0	4	1	9047	Safety improvements to increase visability and active transportaiton safety/ utilization. Add intersection and segment lighting, leading pedestrian intervals at Raymond Avenue and SR 434, green conflict striping, and narrow the lanes.	\$1,340,000 - \$1,710,000	67.5
19	SR 434	Springwood Cir	Palm Springs Dr	0.87	State	Longwood	2	1	1	2316	Safety improvements to improve lighting and increase multimodal accomodations. Add segment and intersection lighting, add refuge islands, co-locate bus stops with pedestrian crossings, add green conflict striping.	\$180,000 - \$900,000	67.5
20	SR 436	Red Bug Lake Rd	County Bnd	2.66	State	Casselberry	1	7	9	6584	Safety improvements to increase multi-modal transportation safety and manage speeds. Add extended yellow and all red time, leading pedestrian intervals and pedestrian recall, refuge islands at Winter Woods, Howell Branch, Lake Howel Lane & Lake Howell Road, narrow the lanes, choose appropriate speeds limits, add curb return radius reduction at all dedicated right-hand turns, co-locate bus stops and pedestrian crossings, and employ access management.	\$1,070,000 - \$2,330,000	57.5
21	SR 46	Cameron Ave	Richmond Ave	0.68	State	Sanford	1	2	2	4406	Safety improvements to manage speed and intersections. Add speed feedback signs, segment lighting, separated bikeway, and a roundabout at Lake Mary.	\$370,000 - \$1,160,000	55
22	SR 434	Palm Springs Dr	Wilma St	1.41	State	Longwood	1	3	3	4526	Safety improvements to improve lighting and increase multimodal accomodations. Add segment and intersection lighting, add refuge islands, co-locate bus stops with pedestrian crossings, add green conflict striping.	\$310,000 - \$1,480,000	55

\*Note: Probable cost ranges do not account for right-ofway acquisition, drainage improvements, utility impacts, and potential resurfacing needs or project contingencies. A detailed cost estimate should be prepared as part of conceptual plans. Countermeasure costs were sourced from the MetroPlan Orlando Engineering Countermeasure Toolkit.

### **STATE ROAD PROJECTS** PRIORITIZED NEEDS LIST

PRIORITY RANK	SEGMENT	FROM	то	LENGTH (MILES)	JURISDICTION	CITY	DISADVANTAGED SCORE (OUT OF 5)	MOTOR VEHICLE DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	VULNERABLE USER DEADLY OR SERIOUS CRASHES	CRASH SCORE PER MILE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	OPINION OF PROBABLE COST RANGE*	PRIORITY SCORE
23	SR 436	Pearl Lake Causeway	Montgomery Rd	1.55	State	Altamonte Springs	1	4	2	5659	Safety improvements to increase multi-modal transportation safety. Add green conflict striping, co-locate bus stops and pedestrian crossings, leading pedestrian intervals and pedestrian recall, separated bikeways, and refuge islands at 434.	\$210,000 - \$310,000	52.5
24	SR 436	Line Dr	Pearl Lake Causeway	2.07	State	Unincorporated	1	5	7	6520	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase multi-modal transportation safety. Add curb return radius reduction at Executive Park Court, choose appropriate speed limits, narrow the lanes, utilize access management and restrict left turns east of Balmy Beach Drive, add segment lighting, leading pedestrian intervals and pedestrian recall, separated bikeways, and co-locate bus stops and pedestrian crossings.	\$4,870,000 - \$6,040,000	52.5
25	SR 46	US 17	Mellonville Ave	1.02	State	Sanford	0	5	4	8272	Safety improvements to manage speeds and visibility. Add high friction surface treatment, raised median, retroreflective signal backplates, and narrow the lanes.	\$2,860,000 - \$3,980,000	50
26	SR 426	SR 417	Aloma Woods Blvd	1.12	State	Unincorporated	0	4	2	3965	Safety improvements to manage speed and increase visibility. Add speed feedback signs, segment lighting, retroreflective signal backplates, curb return radius reduction at Dean Road, directional median openings to restrict left turns, and a separated bikeway.	\$270,000 - \$1,990,000	42.5
27	SR 46	Oregon St	Central Park Dr	1.46	State	Unincorporated	0	8	2	6243	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase multi-modal transportation safety. Add segment lighting, speed feedback signs, narrow the lanes, choose appropriate speed limits, extend yellow and all red time, access management, co- locate bus stops and pedestrian crossings, and add a shared-use path.	\$2,760,000 - \$3,420,000	38.75
28	SR 46	Mellonville Ave	Hellcat Ln	0.67	State	Sanford	0	3	2	4979	Safety improvements to manage intersections and active transportation safety. Add speed feedback signs, a traffic signal at Summerlin Avenue, a separated bikeway, and a shared-use path.	\$450,000 - \$660,000	37.5
29	SR 426	Tuskawilla Rd	Old Howell Branch Rd	1.16	State	Unincorporated	0	2	5	6004	Safety improvements to manage speeds and increase multi-modal transportation safety. Add segment lighting, speed feedback signs, curb return radius reduction at Howell Branch Road to support trail crossing, green conflict striping, leading pedestrian interval and pedestrian recall, separated bikeway, refuge island at Tuskwilla Road, and co-located bus stops and pedestrian crossings.	\$360,000 - \$1,100,000	37.5
30	SR 434	Lake Rena Dr	Spring Centre South Blvd	1.46	State	Altamonte Springs	0	6	5	6776	Safety improvements to manage active transporation safety and speeds. Add segment lighting, speed feedback signs, curb return radius reduction, separated bikeways, leading pedestrian interval and pedestrian recall, narrow the lanes, close the 7-11 driveway at Wekiva Springs Lane, and add a refuge island at Wekiva Springs Lane and Springs Boulevard.	\$2,960,000 - \$3,900,000	37.5

\*Note: Probable cost ranges do not account for right-ofway acquisition, drainage improvements, utility impacts, and potential resurfacing needs or project contingencies. A detailed cost estimate should be prepared as part of conceptual plans. Countermeasure costs were sourced from the MetroPlan Orlando Engineering Countermeasure Toolkit.

## State Road Projects Project Sheets

## 1. SR 434, Wilma St to US 17

C3C

45

50

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 1 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 3 Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 10 Total KSI
- 3 Motorist

Serious Injury

2 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Traffic signals, guardrail

### **Potential Solutions**



Lighting

Co-Locate

**Bus Stops and Pedestrian** 

Crossings









Signal **Backplates** 



**Retroreflective** Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon





## 2. SR 46, Avocado Ave to US 17

C3C

40

50

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification
- Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Sidewalks

### Deadly

- 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist
  - 0 Bicyclist

0 Motorist

Serious Injury

2 Pedestrian

- 1 Motorcyclist 0 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 3 Total KSI





**Bus Stops** 

Death

### 1.03 Miles 3. US 17-92, Seminole Blvd to 13th Crash Score: 7,414 St

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly Serious Injury 2 Pedestrian 2 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist
  - 40 1 Bicyclist 45

C3C

- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI
- 0 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Traffic signal, wide sidewalks, raised median (N of 1st St)

### **Potential Solutions**





### Jurisdiction: State

## 4. US 17-92, 20th St to 27th St

C3C

45

47

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

Deadly

0 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

5 Motorist

11 Total KSI

Serious Injury

3 Pedestrian

1 Bicyclist

1 Motorist

1 Motorcyclist 0 Motorcyclist Features

### **Existing Conditions**

Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** 

Traffic signals, raised medians (S of Park Dr), wide sidewalks, bike lanes (S of Park Dr)

### **Potential Solutions**





CRAS	SH MODE:	CRAS	SH TYPE:		
R	Pedestrian	-	Angle	69	Sideswipe
60	Bicycle	3	Head On	0	Rollover
3	Motorcycle	0	Left Turn	0	Off Road
-	Motor Vehicle	0	Rear End		Other
-					

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

## 5. SR 46, Terwilliger Ln to Avocado Ave

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Deadly

- Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist

0 Pedestrian

Serious Injury

- O Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 6 Total KSI
- 3 Motorist



C3C

45

50

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Raised medians, bike lanes







### **Potential Solutions**



### Jurisdiction: State

## 6. SR 434, US 17-92 to Belle Ave

C3C

45

49

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

Context Classification Posted Speed Limit

Sidewalks, raised median, signalized intersections, highvisibility crosswalks

### **Potential Solutions**



Deadly

1

0 Pedestrian

Bicyclist

0 Motorist

7 Total KSI

Segment Lighting

**Curb Return** 

Radius

Reduction

**Separated** Bikeway

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

5 Motorist

O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features



at Belle Ave

**Operating Speed** 



## 7. SR 436, Palm Springs Dr to US 17-92

C3C

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist
  - 1 Pedestrian 45 1 Bicyclist 52
- 1 Motorcyclist 0 Motorcyclist Features
- 2 Motorist
- 7 Total KSI
- 2 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, reduced crossing Uturns, high-visibility crosswalks, bike lanes

### **Potential Solutions**



### 2.04 Miles Jurisdiction: State Crash Score: 5,552 O . Fe@tamonteDo CRASH MODE: CRASH TYPE: Pedestrian Sideswipe Angle Head On Rollover Bicycle Off Road Motorcycle Left Turn Motor Vehicle **(33)** Other Rear End FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE



## 8. US 17-92, Live Oak Gdns to South St

C3C

53

Enhanced

Daylighting/

**Reduction** Slow Turn Wedge

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 1 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 45 0 Bicyclist
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

- 0 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI
- 4 Motorist

### Context Classification Posted Speed Limit

- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, traffic signals, high-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, bike lanes

### **Potential Solutions**







**Curb-Return** 

Radius







Green Conflict Striping





## 9. US 17-92, Shepard Rd to Gold Days Dr

C3C

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 1 Pedestrian 2 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 1 Bicyclist 1 Motorcyclist 4 Motorcyclist Features 1 Motorist 7 Motorist
- 17 Total KSI
- Posted Speed Limit 45 **Operating Speed** 57
  - Traffic lights, raised medians, reduced leftturn conflict intersection. segment lighting

Context Classification

### **Potential Solutions**







FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE



## 11. SR 46, Central Park Dr to Aero Ln

C3C

55

59

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Serious Injury Deadly 1 Pedestrian 1 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 3 Total KSI
- 0 Motorist

**Uncontrolled Locations** 

### Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Wide sidewalk, raised median, signalized

Context Classification

intersections, highvisibility crosswalks

### **Potential Solutions**



Jurisdiction: State





### SPEED LIMIT 25 Close Raised **Buffered** Appropriate Improve Segment Driveways Median Bikeway Speed Lighting Limits **Shared-Use** Install/Upgrade Pedestrian Crossing at Path

## 12. US 17-92, South St to Spartan Dr

C3C

45

55

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

9 Motorist

0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features

### **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, traffic signals, high-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, bike lanes

### **Potential Solutions**



Deadly

1 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

3 Motorist

16 Total KSI



**Appropriate Curve Advance Speed Limits** 



Upgrade Striping





Extend

**Pedestrian** 

**Crossing Time** 



1

Raised Median from **Ridge Rd to** South St.

**Refuge Islands** between South St and Lake of the Woods Blvd





FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

0.92 Miles

Appendix: State Road Projects Prioritized Needs List and Project Sheets | B34

## 13. SR 436, Montgomery Rd to Palm Springs Dr

C3C

45

52

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
  - Serious Injury 2 Pedestrian Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist

13 Total KSI

8 Motorist

- Context Classification
- Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, reduced crossing Uturns, high-visibility crosswalks, bike lanes





### **Potential Solutions** YOUR SPEED Refuge Access Speed **Curb Return** Island at Management Feedback Radius **Festival Drive** Sign Reduction Extend **Shared-Use** Enhanced **Pedestrian** Daylighting/Slow Path

**Turn Wedge** 

**Crossing Time** 

## 14. SR 426, Tuskawilla Rd to SR 417

C3C

45

47

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

### **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

- 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI
- 1 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, traffic
  - signals, high-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, bike lanes



FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE





SPEED LIMIT Intersection Appropriate Segment **Extend** Speed Yellow and Lighting Lighting Limits All Red Time at SR 417 Intersections **Curb-Return** Green Shared-Radius Conflict **Use Path** Reduction Striping

### Jurisdiction: State
## 15. Lake Mary Blvd, Celery Ave 0.21 Mi N to SR 46

C3R

45

62

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

Deadly

- 0 Pedestrian
- O Bicyclist
- 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist
- 3 Motorcyclist 3 Motorcyclist Features

Serious Injury

0 Motorist

7 Total KSI

- 1 Motorist

Context Classification Posted Speed Limit

**Operating Speed** 

Raised median, traffic signals, high-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, bike lane

## **Potential Solutions**





### Jurisdiction: State

0.89 Miles

Crash Score: 7,576

## 16. US 17-92, 27th St to Lake Minnie Dr/Collins Dr

C3C

45

50

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

Deadly

- 1 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
  - 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 4 Motorcyclist Features

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

- 0 Motorist
- 10 Total KSI
- 4 Motorist

## **Potential Solutions**









High-Visibility Crosswalks (all Side Streets & Intersection Legs)

Context Classification Posted Speed Limit Operating Speed

Reduced left-turn conflict intersection, traffic signals, wide sidewalk (south of Lake

Mary Blvd), buffered bike lanes



FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

**2.12 Miles** 

Crash Score: 5,882

### Jurisdiction: State



Bus Stops

**Projects:** Resurfacing improvements have recently occurred south of Lake Mary Blvd

# 17. SR 434, Great Pond Dr to SR 436

C3C

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly Serious Injury 2 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian 0 Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist
  - 45 53
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI
- 2 Motorist

Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Raised median, reduced crossing Uturns, and bike lanes (Calabria Dr to SR 436), high-visibility crosswalks

Context Classification

## **Potential Solutions**

Buffered Refuge Raised Reduced Left-Turn Island **Bike Lane** Median Conflict Intersection YOUR SPEED Speed Lane Feedback Narrowing Sign



Off Road

Other

Left Turn

Rear End

**(** 

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

Motorcycle

Motor Vehicle

## 18. SR 434, Spring Centre South **Blvd to Springwood Cir**

C3C

51

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Pedestrian 45 O Bicyclist
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

Serious Injury

- 0 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI
- 4 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Traffic signals, retroreflective signal backplates, raised medians, high-visibility crosswalks, bike lanes

### 0.61 Miles Crash Score: 9,047

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE





Intersection Leading Segment Pedestrian Lighting Liahtina Interval, **Raymond Ave** & SR 434 Green Lane





Conflict

Striping

### Jurisdiction: State

# 19. SR 434, Springwood Cir to Palm Springs Dr

C3C

45

54

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- O Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist
- O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 1 Motorist

- 2 Total KSI

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Traffic signals, raised and landscaped median, reduced leftturn conflict intersection, retroreflective signal backplates

### **Potential Solutions**



Striping





0.87 Miles

Crash Score: 2,316



**B47** 

**Pedestrian** 

Crossings

### Jurisdiction: State



# 20. SR 436, Red Bug Lake Rd to County Bnd

C3C

45

54

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

Serious Injury

0 Bicyclist

5 Motorist

2 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features

1 Pedestrian

## **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Medians, Traffic Signals, High-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, channelized left turns, dedicated right turns

## **Potential Solutions**



Deadly

1

3 Pedestrian

Bicyclist

2 Motorist

16 Total KSI



**Extend** Yellow and **All Red Time** 



Curb Return

at all dedicated

right-hand turns



Lane



Landscaped **Radius Reduction Narrowing Buffer** 



at Winter

Branch, Lake

Howell Ln &

Lake Howell Rd

Leading Pedestrian Refuge Islands Interval and Pedestrian Recall Woods, Howell



Co-Locate **Bus Stops and** Pedestrian Crossings



63



SH MODE:	CRASH TYPE:				
Pedestrian	-	Angle	69	Sideswipe	-
Bicycle	8	Head On	0	Rollover	
Motorcycle	0	Left Turn	٢	Off Road	
Motor Vehicle		Rear End	۲	Other	

FILMATROVER SAFETT COUNTERMEASUR	1	FHWA	PROVEN	SAFETY	COUNTERMEASUR
----------------------------------	---	------	--------	--------	---------------

2.66 Miles

Crash Score: 6,584

### Jurisdiction: State

**Bus Stops** 

Death

# 21. SR 46, Cameron Ave to **Richmond Ave**

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- O Bicyclist

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

- 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features
- 1 Motorist
- 4 Total KSI
- 1 Motorist

Segment

Lighting

- Context Classification
- Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, channelized left turns, high-visibility crosswalks

# 0.68 Miles Crash Score: 4,406





## **Potential Solutions**



**Roundabout at** Lake Mary

YOUR

SPEED

Speed

Feedback

Sign

**B51** 



Path

**Shared-Use** 

C3C

45

68

### Jurisdiction: State

guardrail.

# 22. SR 434, Palm Springs Dr to Wilma St

C3C

45

54

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

Deadly

3 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

0 Motorist

6 Total KSI

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

3 Motorist

O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features

## **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Traffic signals, raised and landscaped median, reduced leftturn conflict intersection. retroreflective signal backplates

## **Potential Solutions**





## Refuge Island





FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

1.41 Miles

Crash Score: 4,526

### Jurisdiction: State



\*Deaths shown with icon outlined in black Death

**Bus Stops** 

# 23. SR 436, Pearl Lake Causeway to Montgomery Rd

C3C

45

55

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Bicyclist

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

- Motorcyclist **0** Motorcyclist **Features**
- 0 Motorist
- 6 Total KSI
- 4 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Medians, Traffic Signals, High-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, channelized left turns, bike lanes





### **Potential Solutions**





1.55 Miles

# 24. SR 436, Line Dr to Pearl Lake Causeway

C3C

45

55

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Medians, Traffic Signals, High-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, channelized left turns, bike lanes

## **Potential Solutions**



Deadly

2 Pedestrian

0 Bicyclist

1 Motorist

12 Total KSI

Serious Injury

1 Pedestrian

1 Bicyclist

4 Motorist

2 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features

Appropriate **Speed Limits** 







Co-Locate Bus Curb Return Lane Stops and Radius Reduction Narrowing Pedestrian at Executive Park Crossings Ct





Segment Close Driveways, Leading Lighting restrict left turns Pedestrian east of Balmy Interval and **Beach Drive Pedestrian Recall** 



FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE

**(** 

Rear End

Motor Vehicle

Other

## 25. SR 46, US 17 to Mellonville Ave Crash History (2018 - 22)

C4

35

60

### Deadly Serious Injury 0 Pedestrian 0 Pedestrian O Bicyclist 0 Bicyclist 0 Motorcyclist 4 Motorcyclist Features 1 Motorist 4 Motorist

- 9 Total KSI

## **Existing Conditions**

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Traffic signals, highvisibility crosswalks, painted median



1.02 Miles



## **Potential Solutions**



Lane Narrowing

B59

**Retroreflective** Signal

**Backplates** 

### Jurisdiction: State

# 26. SR 426, SR 417 to Aloma Woods Blvd

C3C

45

53

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 1 Bicyclist
- 1 Motorcyclist 0 Motorcyclist Features

Serious Injury

0 Pedestrian

- 0 Motorist
- 6 Total KSI
- 4 Motorist

### Context Classification Posted Speed Limit

- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, traffic signals, high-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, bike lanes

## **Potential Solutions**





# 27. SR 46, Oregon St to Central Park Dr

C3C

45

59

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

Deadly

0 Bicyclist

- Serious Injury 0 Pedestrian 1 Pedestrian
  - 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist
- 10 Total KSI
- 8 Motorist

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Medians, Traffic Signals, High-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, channelized left turns, bike lanes

### 1.46 Miles Crash Score: 6,243

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE







**Potential Solutions** SPEED 25 Appropriate Segment Close **Extend Speed Limits** Lighting Driveways Yellow and All Red Time YOUR Shared-Use Lane Co-Locate Bus Stops Speed Path Narrowing and Pedestrian Feedback

Crossings

Sign

# 28. SR 46, Mellonville Ave to Hellcat Ln

C3C

45

60

### Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

Context Classification

sidewalks, bike lanes,

channelized left turn

Posted Speed Limit

**Operating Speed** 

Raised median,

- Deadly
- Serious Injury
- 0 Pedestrian 1 Pedestrian
  - O Bicyclist
- 0 Motorcyclist 1 Motorcyclist Features

3 Motorist

- 0 Motorist
- 5 Total KSI

0 Bicyclist

## **Potential Solutions**



## 0.67 Miles Jurisdiction: State Crash Score: 4,979 15 Ct Wynnewood Dr CRASH MODE: CRASH TYPE: Pedestrian Sideswipe Angle Bicycle Head On Rollover Off Road Motorcycle Left Turn Motor Vehicle **(33)** Other Rear End FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE





# 29. SR 426, Tuskawilla Rd to Old **Howell Branch Rd**

C3C

45

53

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

## **Existing Conditions**

- Deadly
- 0 Pedestrian
- 0 Bicyclist
- 0 Pedestrian 3 Bicyclist

Serious Injury

- 0 Motorcyclist 2 Motorcyclist Features
- 0 Motorist

7 Total KSI

2 Motorist

## **Potential Solutions**



**Refuge Island Separated** Bikeway at Tuskawilla



Speed Conflict Feedback Striping Sign



Lighting

**Curb Return Radius Reduction at Howell** Branch Rd to support trail crossing

Segment Leading Pedestrian

Interval and Pedestrian Recall

- Context Classification Posted Speed Limit
- **Operating Speed**
- Raised median, traffic signals, high-visibility crosswalks, sidewalks, bike lanes, Cross Way Trail

Seminole Trail and Cady





# 30. SR 434, Lake Rena Dr to Spring Centre South Blvd

## Crash History (2018 - 22)

**Existing Conditions** 

C3C

45

52

- Deadly
- 2 Pedestrian
- O Bicyclist
- O Bicyclist O Motorcyclist O Motorcyclist Features
- 2 Motorist

11 Total KSI

- 4 Motorist

Serious Injury

3 Pedestrian

- Posted Speed Limit **Operating Speed** Sidewalks, Painted
  - Medians, Raised medians, Traffic Signals, Bicycle Lane, High Visibility Crosswalks

Context Classification

## **Potential Solutions**





B69

### Jurisdiction: State

1.46 Miles



MetroPlan Orlando Project Manager: Lara Bouck - lara.bouck@metroplanorlando.gov

Seminole County Project Manager: Bill Wharton - wwharton@seminolecountyfl.gov