

From: [Janardhan Reddy M](#)
To: [Sillaway, Annie](#)
Subject: Opposition to Kentucky Street Special Exception (Z2025-15) – Proposed 143-Foot Cell Tower
Date: Wednesday, July 1, 2026 6:02:40 PM

NOTICE: This email was sent from someone outside of the Seminole County BCC Organization. Always use caution when opening attachments or clicking links from unknown senders or when receiving unexpected emails. If you believe this message is suspicious or malicious in nature, please use the Phish Alert Button to report it to the Information Technology Security Team or contact 311Support at CSDSupport@seminolecountyfl.gov

To:

Seminole County Planning & Development Division
Attn: Annie Sillaway, Project Manager

Re: Case Z2025-15 – Kentucky Street Special Exception

Dear Members of the Planning & Zoning Commission and Board of County Commissioners,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed 143-foot communication tower and associated variances requested under Case Z2025-15 on Kentucky Street.

As a nearby resident and property owner, I respectfully request that the Commission deny this Special Exception and the requested variances for the following reasons:

1. Incompatibility with Residential Neighborhood

The proposed tower is significantly taller than surrounding structures and is not compatible with the character of the established residential neighborhood. A 143-foot tower would become a dominant visual feature and negatively affect the appearance of the community.

2. Request for Multiple Variances

The applicant is requesting four variances from the required separation distances from existing single-family homes. These separation requirements exist to protect residents and preserve neighborhood character. Granting multiple variances undermines the intent of Seminole County's zoning regulations.

3. Potential Reduction in Property Values

Many homeowners are concerned that locating a large telecommunications tower so close to residential properties may adversely affect property values and make homes less desirable to prospective buyers.

4. Visual and Aesthetic Impacts

The tower would create a permanent visual intrusion that cannot be adequately screened by landscaping due to its height. This would negatively impact the quality of life and scenic character of the neighborhood.

5. Availability of Alternative Locations

Before approving a tower requiring multiple variances, the County should require the applicant to demonstrate that no feasible alternative locations exist that would have less impact on nearby residents, including collocation on existing towers or placement in more appropriate commercial or industrial areas.

6. Community Concerns

The proposed location places the interests of one development ahead of the long-term interests of surrounding homeowners who have invested in this community expecting that existing zoning protections would be upheld.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Planning & Zoning Commission recommend denial of this application and that the Board of County Commissioners deny the requested Special Exception and variances.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and for protecting the character and integrity of our neighborhood.

Respectfully,

Janardhan Reddy Mettu,
4120 Rainfall PL,
Sanford, FL
Email:m.janardhan1984@hotmail.com
Phone: 9542615429

From: [Mallika](#)
To: [Sillaway, Annie](#)
Subject: Opposition to Kentucky Street Special Exception (Z2025-15) – Proposed 143-Foot Cell Tower
Date: Wednesday, July 1, 2026 4:45:10 PM

NOTICE: This email was sent from someone outside of the Seminole County BCC Organization. Always use caution when opening attachments or clicking links from unknown senders or when receiving unexpected emails. If you believe this message is suspicious or malicious in nature, please use the Phish Alert Button to report it to the Information Technology Security Team or contact 311Support at CSDSupport@seminolecountyfl.gov

To:

Seminole County Planning & Development Division
Attn: Annie Sillaway, Project Manager

Re: Case Z2025-15 – Kentucky Street Special Exception

Dear Members of the Planning & Zoning Commission and Board of County Commissioners,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed 143-foot communication tower and associated variances requested under Case Z2025-15 on Kentucky Street.

As a nearby resident and property owner, I respectfully request that the Commission deny this Special Exception and the requested variances for the following reasons:

1. Incompatibility with Residential Neighborhood

The proposed tower is significantly taller than surrounding structures and is not compatible with the character of the established residential neighborhood. A 143-foot tower would become a dominant visual feature and negatively affect the appearance of the community.

2. Request for Multiple Variances

The applicant is requesting four variances from the required separation distances from existing single-family homes. These separation requirements exist to protect residents and preserve neighborhood character. Granting multiple variances undermines the intent of Seminole County's zoning regulations.

3. Potential Reduction in Property Values

Many homeowners are concerned that locating a large telecommunications tower so close to residential properties may adversely affect property values and make homes less desirable to prospective buyers.

4. Visual and Aesthetic Impacts

The tower would create a permanent visual intrusion that cannot be adequately screened by landscaping due to its height. This would negatively impact the quality of life and scenic character of the neighborhood.

5. Availability of Alternative Locations

Before approving a tower requiring multiple variances, the County should require the applicant to demonstrate that no feasible alternative locations exist that would have less impact on nearby residents, including collocation on existing towers or placement in more appropriate commercial or industrial areas.

6. Community Concerns

The proposed location places the interests of one development ahead of the long-term interests of surrounding homeowners who have invested in this community expecting that existing zoning protections would be upheld.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Planning & Zoning Commission recommend denial of this application and that the Board of County Commissioners deny the requested Special Exception and variances.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and for protecting the character and integrity of our neighborhood.

Respectfully,

Purna Naga Mallika Nagamothu,
4037 Diamond Dew Lane,
Sanford, FL
Email: mallika238@gmail.com
Phone: 6893517377

From: [Ravi Sathari Mallikariuna](#)
To: [Sillaway, Annie](#)
Subject: Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)
Date: Wednesday, July 1, 2026 4:10:57 PM

NOTICE: This email was sent from someone outside of the Seminole County BCC Organization. Always use caution when opening attachments or clicking links from unknown senders or when receiving unexpected emails. If you believe this message is suspicious or malicious in nature, please use the Phish Alert Button to report it to the Information Technology Security Team or contact 311Support at CSDSupport@seminolecountyfl.gov

Re: Case Z2025-15 – Kentucky Street Special Exception

Dear Members of the Planning & Zoning Commission and Board of County Commissioners,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed 143-foot communication tower and associated variances requested under Case Z2025-15 on Kentucky Street.

As a nearby resident and property owner, I respectfully request that the Commission deny this Special Exception and the requested variances for the following reasons:

1. Incompatibility with Residential Neighborhood

The proposed tower is significantly taller than surrounding structures and is not compatible with the character of the established residential neighborhood. A 143-foot tower would become a dominant visual feature and negatively affect the appearance of the community.

2. Request for Multiple Variances

The applicant is requesting four variances from the required separation distances from existing single-family homes. These separation requirements exist to protect residents and preserve neighborhood character. Granting multiple variances undermines the intent of Seminole County's zoning regulations.

3. Potential Reduction in Property Values

Many homeowners are concerned that locating a large telecommunications tower so close to residential properties may adversely affect property values and make homes less desirable to prospective buyers.

4. Visual and Aesthetic Impacts

The tower would create a permanent visual intrusion that cannot be adequately screened by landscaping due to its height. This would negatively impact the quality of life and scenic character of the neighborhood.

5. Availability of Alternative Locations

Before approving a tower requiring multiple variances, the County should require the applicant to demonstrate that no feasible alternative locations exist that would have less impact on nearby residents, including collocation on existing towers or placement in more appropriate commercial or industrial areas.

6. Community Concerns

The proposed location places the interests of one development ahead of the long-term interests of surrounding homeowners who have invested in this community expecting that existing zoning protections would be upheld.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Planning & Zoning Commission recommend denial of this application and that the Board of County Commissioners deny the requested Special Exception and variances.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and for protecting the character and integrity of our neighborhood.

Respectfully,

Ravichandra Sathari
4410 Foggy oak ln,
Sanford, FL
phone: 614-966-7404

--

Regards,
Ravi Sathari

From: [ravi chandra](#)
To: [Sillaway, Annie](#)
Subject: Opposition to Kentucky Street Special Exception (Z2025-15) – Proposed 143-Foot Cell Tower
Date: Wednesday, July 1, 2026 4:42:39 PM

NOTICE: This email was sent from someone outside of the Seminole County BCC Organization. Always use caution when opening attachments or clicking links from unknown senders or when receiving unexpected emails. If you believe this message is suspicious or malicious in nature, please use the Phish Alert Button to report it to the Information Technology Security Team or contact 311Support at CSDSupport@seminolecountyfl.gov

To:

Seminole County Planning & Development Division
Attn: Annie Sillaway, Project Manager

Re: Case Z2025-15 – Kentucky Street Special Exception

Dear Members of the Planning & Zoning Commission and Board of County Commissioners,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed 143-foot communication tower and associated variances requested under Case Z2025-15 on Kentucky Street.

As a nearby resident and property owner, I respectfully request that the Commission deny this Special Exception and the requested variances for the following reasons:

1. Incompatibility with Residential Neighborhood

The proposed tower is significantly taller than surrounding structures and is not compatible with the character of the established residential neighborhood. A 143-foot tower would become a dominant visual feature and negatively affect the appearance of the community.

2. Request for Multiple Variances

The applicant is requesting four variances from the required separation distances from existing single-family homes. These separation requirements exist to protect residents and preserve neighborhood character. Granting multiple variances undermines the intent of Seminole County's zoning regulations.

3. Potential Reduction in Property Values

Many homeowners are concerned that locating a large telecommunications tower so close to residential properties may adversely affect property values and make homes less desirable to prospective buyers.

4. Visual and Aesthetic Impacts

The tower would create a permanent visual intrusion that cannot be adequately screened by landscaping due to its height. This would negatively impact the quality of life and scenic character of the neighborhood.

5. Availability of Alternative Locations

Before approving a tower requiring multiple variances, the County should require the applicant to demonstrate that no feasible alternative locations exist that would have less impact on nearby residents, including collocation on existing towers or placement in more appropriate commercial or industrial areas.

6. Community Concerns

The proposed location places the interests of one development ahead of the long-term interests of surrounding homeowners who have invested in this community expecting that existing zoning protections would be upheld.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Planning & Zoning Commission recommend denial of this application and that the Board of County Commissioners deny the requested Special Exception and variances.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and for protecting the character and integrity of our neighborhood.

Respectfully,

Ravichandra Pasala
4156 Rainfall Pl, Sanford, FL 32773
Email: ravibekool@gmail.com
Phone: 478-305-1400

Thanks & Regards,
Ravichandra Pasala
478-305-1400

From: [Teijinder](#)
To: [Sillaway, Annie](#)
Subject: Opposition to Kentucky Street Special Exception (Z2025-15) – Proposed 143-Foot Cell Tower
Date: Wednesday, July 1, 2026 6:17:17 PM

NOTICE: This email was sent from someone outside of the Seminole County BCC Organization. Always use caution when opening attachments or clicking links from unknown senders or when receiving unexpected emails. If you believe this message is suspicious or malicious in nature, please use the Phish Alert Button to report it to the Information Technology Security Team or contact 311Support at CSDSupport@seminolecountyfl.gov

To:

Seminole County Planning & Development Division
Attn: Annie Sillaway, Project Manager

Re: Case Z2025-15 – Kentucky Street Special Exception

Dear Members of the Planning & Zoning Commission and Board of County Commissioners,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed 143-foot communication tower and associated variances requested under Case Z2025-15 on Kentucky Street.

As a nearby resident and property owner, I respectfully request that the Commission deny this Special Exception and the requested variances for the following reasons:

1. Incompatibility with Residential Neighborhood

The proposed tower is significantly taller than surrounding structures and is not compatible with the character of the established residential neighborhood. A 143-foot tower would become a dominant visual feature and negatively affect the appearance of the community.

2. Request for Multiple Variances

The applicant is requesting four variances from the required separation distances from existing single-family homes. These separation requirements exist to protect residents and preserve neighborhood character. Granting multiple variances undermines the intent of Seminole County's zoning regulations.

3. Potential Reduction in Property Values

Many homeowners are concerned that locating a large telecommunications tower so close to residential properties may adversely affect property values and make homes less desirable to prospective buyers.

4. Visual and Aesthetic Impacts

The tower would create a permanent visual intrusion that cannot be adequately screened by landscaping due to its height. This would negatively impact the quality of life and scenic character of the neighborhood.

5. Availability of Alternative Locations

Before approving a tower requiring multiple variances, the County should require the applicant to demonstrate that no feasible alternative locations exist that would have less impact on nearby residents, including collocation on existing towers or placement in more appropriate commercial or industrial areas.

6. Community Concerns

The proposed location places the interests of one development ahead of the long-term interests of surrounding homeowners who have invested in this community expecting that existing zoning protections would be upheld.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Planning & Zoning Commission recommend denial of this application and that the Board of County Commissioners deny the requested Special Exception and variances.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and for protecting the character and integrity of our neighborhood.

Respectfully,

Fnu Tejinder
4301 Foggy Oak Ln
Sanford, FL
Email: Teji_gp@gmail.com
Phone: 9084107105