



Seminole County
Resource Management - Purchasing & Contracts
Stephen Koontz, Purchasing and Contracts Manager
1301 East Second St., Sanford, FL 32771

TABULATION SHEET

PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

RESPONSE DEADLINE: December 18, 2024 at 2:00 pm

PROPOSALS RECIEVED

Vendor	Contact Information
A2 Group, Inc.	Stephanie Law laws@a2group.com
D&A Construction Group, Inc d/b/a DACG, Inc	Heather McCandless bids@dacginc.com
Ovation Construction Company, Inc.	Michael Provost michale@ovationinc.net
The Collage Companies	Jesse Walsh jwalsh@collage-usa.com

Tabulated and Posted: Wednesday, January 9, 2025, at 12:30 PM EST by Herronda S. Mortimer, Senior Procurement Analyst

Evaluation Committee Meeting: The Evaluation Committee has given equal consideration to all Four (4) submitting firms and are moving them forward to Presentations and Interviews.

Presentations and Interviews: Monday, February 10, 2025, at 10:00AM– in the Purchasing and Contracts Conference at 1301 East Second Street, Sanford, FL 32771. Listed Alphabetically

- A2 Group, Inc.
- Ovation Construction Company, Inc.
- The Collage Companies

BCC Date: February 25, 2025 – Request to approve ranking and authorize negotiations with top-ranked firm in accordance with the Florida Statute 287.055 CCNA (Updated and Posted Tuesday, February 11, 2025, by Herronda S. Mortimer, Senior Procurement Analyst)

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

1. The Collage Companies
2. A² Group, Inc.
3. Ovation Construction Company, Inc.

*Determination of awarded firm will be made based on total average ranking of each firm after presentations.



Seminole County
Resource Management - Purchasing & Contracts

Stephen Koontz, Purchasing and Contracts Manager

1301 East Second St., Sanford, FL 32771

PRESENTATION RANKING

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

RESPONSE DEADLINE: December 18, 2024 at 2:00 pm

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Nick Brow	Anthony Maddox	Kristian Swenson	Chad Wilsky	Total Score (Max Score 100)	Total Average Rank
The Collage Companies	97 (1)	95 (1)	96 (2)	98 (1)	96.5	1.25
A2 Group, Inc.	90 (2)	75 (2.5)	99 (1)	96 (2)	90	1.88
Ovation Construction Company, Inc.	80 (3)	75 (2.5)	76 (3)	80 (3)	77.75	2.88

The Evaluation Committee approves the above stated ranking based on Total Average Rank and recommend The Collage Companies for award:

X

Nicholas Brow

X

Anthony Maddox

X

Kristian Swenson

X

Chad Wilsky



Seminole County
Resource Management - Purchasing & Contracts
Stephen Koontz, Purchasing and Contracts Manager
1301 East Second St., Sanford, FL 32771

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

RESPONSE DEADLINE: December 18, 2024 at 2:00 pm

Report Generated: Tuesday, February 11, 2025

EVALUATORS

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Nick Brow	Construction Manager	Dec 19, 2024 8:18 AM
Anthony Maddox	Division Manager Facilities	Jan 10, 2025 9:44 AM
Kristian Swenson	Assistant County Manager	Dec 19, 2024 9:49 AM
Chad Wilsky	Fleet and Facilities Director	Dec 26, 2024 9:59 AM

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Similar Work Experience	Points Based	35 (35% of Total)
Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Understanding/Project Approach	Points Based	30 (30% of Total)
Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Qualifications of Proposed Team	Points Based	30 (30% of Total)
Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Location of the Firm	Points Based	5 (5% of Total)

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Nick Brow	Anthony Maddox	Kristian Swenson	Chad Wilsky	Total Score (Max Score 100)	Total Average Rank
The Collage Companies	95 (1)	80 (1)	98 (2)	95 (1)	92	1.25
A2 Group, Inc.	85 (2)	65 (4)	100 (1)	65 (2.5)	78.75	2.38
Ovation Construction Company, Inc.	75 (3)	70 (2.5)	83 (3)	65 (2.5)	73.25	2.75
D&A Construction Group, Inc d/b/a DACG, Inc	65 (4)	70 (2.5)	67 (4)	60 (4)	65.5	3.63

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Similar Work Experience Points Based 35 Points (35%)	Project Understanding/Project Approach Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Qualifications of Proposed Team Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Location of the Firm Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
The Collage Companies	32.5	25.8	28.8	5	92
A2 Group, Inc.	22.5	26.3	25	5	78.75
Ovation Construction Company, Inc.	17.5	25.8	25	5	73.25
D&A Construction Group, Inc d/b/a DACG, Inc	18	18.8	23.8	5	65.5

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

A2 Group, Inc.

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

Similar Work Experience | Points Based | 35 Points (35%)

Nick Brow: 25

Aquatic Center, Basketball courts, parks and trail head experience. A blend of similar project components, however no direct community center buildings.

Anthony Maddox: 20

Good array of public sector park and recreational work.

Kristian Swenson: 35

Several jobs of the same scale.

Chad Wilsky: 10

Did not provide examples of similar work experience that align to the Rosenwald project

Project Understanding/Project Approach | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Nick Brow: 30

Understands cultural significance of project. Proactively read the Strategic Recommendation Report. Community awareness and security focus. Ability to work with accelerated scheduling of design/construction. Planning cost estimates. Focus on Risk Management.

Anthony Maddox: 20

General approach with a good general understanding of the project.

Kristian Swenson: 30

Really liked their understanding of the project and the research they had done.

Chad Wilsky: 25

Detailed project understanding and project approach provided

Qualifications of Proposed Team | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Nick Brow: 25

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

Orlando office operational for 29-years. Team members in both Orlando and Miami office locations. architecture, engineering, landscape architecture, general contract , and underground utility contracting services. Assigned project team is qualified for this project scale.

Anthony Maddox: 20

Personnel has more horizontal road experience also personnel were on the same projects.

Kristian Swenson: 30

Team seems well qualified.

Chad Wilsky: 25

Qualified team

Location of the Firm | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Nick Brow: 5

Orange County

Anthony Maddox: 5

Orange County

Kristian Swenson: 5

Orange County

Chad Wilsky: 5

Orange County

D&A Construction Group, Inc d/b/a DACG, Inc

Similar Work Experience | Points Based | 35 Points (35%)

Nick Brow: 20

Experiences listed are loosely applicable to this project scope.

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

Anthony Maddox: 25

Experience of similar scope of work.

Kristian Swenson: 17

Did not appear to outline similar work experience. The jobs outlined were not the same or were small in scale

Chad Wilsky: 10

Did not provide examples of similar work experience that align to the Rosenwald project

Project Understanding/Project Approach | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Nick Brow: 20

Approach is well outlined from design through construction. Project specifics are omitted.

Anthony Maddox: 20

General approach with a good general understanding of the project.

Kristian Swenson: 15

Provided general process understanding but no research on the project

Chad Wilsky: 20

Not as detailed or Rosenwald project specific as other proposers

Qualifications of Proposed Team | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Nick Brow: 20

Altamonte Springs firm. Proposal focuses on three executive staff members only which are well qualified. Support information on members listed on organization chart would be helpful to understand full project team assigned to this project.

Anthony Maddox: 20

Personnel qualified for the type of work.

Kristian Swenson: 30

Team seems qualified

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

Chad Wilsky: 25

Qualified team

Location of the Firm | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Nick Brow: 5

Seminole County

Anthony Maddox: 5

Seminole County

Kristian Swenson: 5

Seminole County

Chad Wilsky: 5

Seminole County

Ovation Construction Company, Inc.

Similar Work Experience | Points Based | 35 Points (35%)

Nick Brow: 20

Experience with phased development, and wellness center.

Anthony Maddox: 20

Some projects suggested are not relevant to project

Kristian Swenson: 20

Similar work experience not as strong as others.

Chad Wilsky: 10

Did not provide examples of similar work experience that align to the Rosenwald project

Project Understanding/Project Approach | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request for Professional Services (PS) - Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

Nick Brow: 30

Approach is project specific with focus on site logistics. Ability to work with accelerated scheduling of design/construction.

Anthony Maddox: 20

General approach with a good general understanding of the project.

Kristian Swenson: 28

Team researched project and had a good understanding

Chad Wilsky: 25

Detailed project understanding and project approach provided

Qualifications of Proposed Team | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Nick Brow: 20

Oviedo based firm founded in 2008. All staff members are local. Members appear to be generally qualified.

Anthony Maddox: 25

Personnel qualified for the type of work.

Kristian Swenson: 30

Team seems qualified

Chad Wilsky: 25

Qualified team

Location of the Firm | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Nick Brow: 5

Seminole County

Anthony Maddox: 5

Seminole County

Kristian Swenson: 5

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

Seminole County

Chad Wilsky: 5

Seminole County

The Collage Companies

Similar Work Experience | Points Based | 35 Points (35%)

Nick Brow: 35

Exceptional project experience matching all aspects of the proposed project.

Anthony Maddox: 25

Good array of public sector park and recreational work.

Kristian Swenson: 35

God work experience.

Chad Wilsky: 35

Several examples of similar and relevant work experiences provided that align to the Rosenwald project

Project Understanding/Project Approach | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Nick Brow: 25

Thorough understanding of the project scope. Ability to work with accelerated scheduling of design/construction.

Anthony Maddox: 25

General approach with a good general understanding of the project.

Kristian Swenson: 28

Had a good project understanding. Not sure why they highlighted one specific community member to work with.

Chad Wilsky: 25

Detailed project understanding and project approach provided

EVALUATION TABULATION

PS No. PS-6230-24/HSM

Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) for Rosenwald Community Property Development

Qualifications of Proposed Team | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Nick Brow: 30

Lake Mary firm in operation for over 30-years with extensive experience working with this type of project.

Anthony Maddox: 25

Personnel qualified for the type of work.

Kristian Swenson: 30

Team seemed well qualified

Chad Wilsky: 30

Qualified team aligning with the Rosenwald project

Location of the Firm | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Nick Brow: 5

Seminole County

Anthony Maddox: 5

Seminole County

Kristian Swenson: 5

Seminole County

Chad Wilsky: 5

Seminole County